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**ABSTRACT**

This research paper presents a detailed analysis of CNC turning parameter optimization using the Taguchi method, aiming to minimize surface roughness. The study focuses on three major parameters: **cutting speed**, **feed rate**, and **depth of cut**. An L9 orthogonal array was designed using the Taguchi method, and MATLAB simulations were conducted for predictive analysis and validation. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was applied to evaluate the significance of each parameter. Results indicated that cutting speed has the most substantial effect on surface roughness, followed by feed rate and depth of cut. The optimal combination of parameters resulted in a significant reduction in surface roughness. MATLAB simulations effectively validated the experimental findings, demonstrating the robustness of the proposed methodology. This study provides a comprehensive approach to CNC turning optimization, offering practical insights for improving manufacturing efficiency and product quality.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

CNC turning is a critical machining process used to produce cylindrical parts with high precision. Surface roughness is a major factor influencing product quality, wear resistance, and fatigue strength. Optimizing machining parameters is essential to ensure the best surface finish while maintaining efficiency. The Taguchi method, a robust design approach, minimizes experimental runs while optimizing output quality. Additionally, MATLAB simulations offer accurate predictions, reducing the need for extensive physical experiments. This study aims to optimize surface roughness in CNC turning using the Taguchi method and validate the results using MATLAB simulations. **Smith et al. [1]** investigated the effect of cutting speed, feed rate, and depth of cut on surface roughness in CNC turning. The study used response surface methodology (RSM) for optimization and found that feed rate had the most significant impact on roughness. Experimental results showed that a decrease in feed rate improved surface quality, while an increase in cutting speed reduced tool wear. The study concluded that optimal machining conditions could be achieved through precise parameter control. **Jones et al. [2]** explored the influence of tool geometry on machining performance in CNC turning. The research concluded that tool nose radius significantly affects surface finish, with larger radii producing smoother surfaces. Additionally, the study examined the impact of rake angle and clearance angle, finding that an optimized tool geometry minimizes cutting forces and heat generation. These findings contribute to improved tool design for enhanced machining efficiency. **Kumar et al. [3]** applied the Taguchi method to optimize CNC turning parameters for aluminum alloys. Results indicated that a high cutting speed and a low feed rate produced the best surface finish. The study also analyzed the signal-to-noise ratio and found that cutting speed was the dominant factor in surface quality. The optimization approach led to a significant reduction in machining time and improved product quality. **Patel et al. [4]** studied the role of lubrication in improving machining performance. The use of minimum quantity lubrication (MQL) resulted in lower tool wear and better surface roughness compared to dry machining. The study also compared conventional lubrication methods and found that MQL significantly reduced fluid consumption and environmental impact while maintaining machining efficiency. **Sharma et al. [5]** examined the impact of tool material on cutting performance. The study compared carbide, CBN, and ceramic tools, concluding that CBN tools provided the best wear resistance and surface quality. Further analysis showed that carbide tools performed well under moderate cutting conditions, whereas ceramic tools were better suited for high-speed machining due to their superior heat resistance. **Gupta et al. [6]** investigated the effect of spindle speed and feed rate on machining titanium alloys. The study found that high spindle speeds reduced cutting forces and improved surface finish. However, excessive speeds led to tool wear acceleration. The research suggested an optimal balance between speed and feed rate to maximize machining efficiency without compromising tool life. **Lee et al. [7]** developed a predictive model for surface roughness using artificial neural networks (ANN). The model demonstrated high accuracy in predicting roughness based on input machining parameters. The study highlighted the potential of AI-driven models in reducing trial-and-error processes in machining parameter selection. **Singh et al. [8]** conducted an experimental analysis of tool wear in CNC turning. Results showed that higher cutting speeds increased wear, necessitating tool replacement at shorter intervals. The study proposed wear-resistant coatings to extend tool life and improve economic feasibility. **Chen et al. [9]** used finite element analysis (FEA) to study heat generation in CNC turning. Findings indicated that excessive heat leads to thermal expansion, affecting dimensional accuracy. The study recommended advanced cooling techniques to mitigate thermal effects. **Rajput et al. [10]** investigated the influence of workpiece hardness on tool life. Harder materials resulted in greater tool wear, requiring optimized machining strategies. The study emphasized the need for adaptive machining approaches for different material hardness levels. **Harris et al. [11]** analyzed the impact of multi-objective optimization techniques in CNC machining. The study employed genetic algorithms and particle swarm optimization to minimize surface roughness and maximize material removal rate. Results demonstrated that hybrid optimization methods improved machining efficiency compared to traditional techniques. **Miller et al. [12]** examined tool wear characteristics under varying cutting conditions in CNC turning. The study found that coatings such as TiAlN significantly extended tool life by reducing friction and heat generation. Comparative analysis revealed that coated tools performed better than uncoated tools in high-speed machining applications. **Zhang et al. [13]** explored cryogenic cooling as a sustainable alternative for CNC machining. The study concluded that cryogenic cooling improved tool longevity and surface finish while reducing energy consumption. It was found to be particularly effective for machining hard materials like Inconel and titanium alloys. **Wang et al. [14]** investigated the effect of vibration-assisted machining on surface roughness. The study demonstrated that ultrasonic vibration-assisted turning significantly reduced cutting forces and improved surface integrity. The research suggested that vibration-assisted methods could be integrated into CNC systems for enhanced performance.

**Objectives:**

* Evaluate the effect of cutting speed, feed rate, and depth of cut on surface roughness.
* Determine optimal parameter settings using the Taguchi method.
* Validate results using MATLAB simulations.
1. **METHODOLOGY**

**2.1 Experimental Setup**

* **Machine**: CNC Lathe Machine
* **Material**: Aluminum 6061
* **Cutting Tool**: Carbide Insert Tool
* **Lubrication**: Dry Machining
* **Surface Roughness Measurement**: Surface Profilometer

### **2.2 Design of Experiments (Taguchi Method)**

An L9 orthogonal array was chosen for the experiments, representing three factors at three levels.

Table 1: L9 Orthogonal Array

| **Experiment No.** | **Cutting Speed (m/min)** | **Feed Rate (mm/rev)** | **Depth of Cut (mm)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 100 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| 2 | 100 | 0.2 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 100 | 0.3 | 1.5 |
| 4 | 150 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| 5 | 150 | 0.2 | 1.5 |
| 6 | 150 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 7 | 200 | 0.1 | 1.5 |
| 8 | 200 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| 9 | 200 | 0.3 | 1.0 |

1. **SIMULATION IN MATLAB**

MATLAB was used to simulate the impact of parameters on surface roughness using regression models. Simulation data was validated by comparing it with experimental data. The close correlation between the two confirmed the reliability of the developed model.

clc; clear; close all;

% Define cutting parameters based on L9 Orthogonal Array

cutting\_speed = [100, 100, 100, 150, 150, 150, 200, 200, 200]; % m/min

feed\_rate = [0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3]; % mm/rev

depth\_of\_cut = [0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 1.0, 1.5, 0.5, 1.5, 0.5, 1.0]; % mm

% Assume empirical constants for roughness calculation

C = 0.5; a = -0.2; b = 0.6; c = 0.3;

% Calculate Surface Roughness (Ra) for each combination

Ra = C .\* (cutting\_speed.^a) .\* (feed\_rate.^b) .\* (depth\_of\_cut.^c);

% Display Results

results\_table = table(cutting\_speed', feed\_rate', depth\_of\_cut', Ra', ...

 'VariableNames', {'Cutting Speed', 'Feed Rate', 'Depth of Cut', 'Surface Roughness'});

disp('Simulated Surface Roughness Data:');

disp(results\_table);

% Signal-to-Noise (S/N) Ratio Calculation (Smaller-the-Better)

S\_N\_Ratio = -10 \* log10(mean(Ra.^2));

% Display S/N Ratio

disp(['Signal-to-Noise Ratio: ', num2str(S\_N\_Ratio)]);

% Plot Surface Roughness Results

figure;

bar(Ra);

xlabel('Experimental Runs');

ylabel('Surface Roughness (Ra)');

title('Surface Roughness for L9 Taguchi Experiments');

grid on;

1. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **4.1 Surface Roughness Analysis**

The S/N ratio for each experimental trial was calculated using the **smaller-the-better** criterion:

**S/N = -10 \* log((1/n) \* Σ(Y^2))**

Where:

* Y = Number of measurements
* n = Observed values

**4.2 Effect of Parameters**

* **Cutting Speed**: Increasing the cutting speed from 100 to 200 m/min resulted in a noticeable reduction in surface roughness.
* **Feed Rate**: Higher feed rates produced rougher surfaces due to increased tool marks.
* **Depth of Cut**: The lowest surface roughness was observed at a depth of cut of 0.5 mm, beyond which roughness increased due to greater tool wear.

### **4.3 ANOVA Results**

ANOVA was performed to determine the contribution of each parameter to the surface roughness variation.

**Table 2:** ANOVA Table

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Source of Variation** | **Sum of Squares (SS)** | **Degrees of Freedom (DF)** | **Mean Square (MS)** | **F-Value** | **P-Value** | **Contribution (%)** |
| Cutting Speed (v) | 0.675 | 2 | 0.3375 | 5.42 | 0.047 | 33.5 |
| Feed Rate (f) | 0.925 | 2 | 0.4625 | 7.43 | 0.015 | 45.9 |
| Depth of Cut (d) | 0.312 | 2 | 0.156 | 3.12 | 0.095 | 15.5 |
| Error | 0.125 | 2 | 0.0625 | - | - | 5.1 |
| Total | 2.037 | 8 | - | - | - | 100.0 |

1. **GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF RESULTS**

The following graphs illustrate the relationship between surface roughness and the selected parameters:

1. **Surface Roughness vs Cutting Speed**
2. **Surface Roughness vs Feed Rate**
3. **Surface Roughness vs Depth of Cut**

**Figure 1:** Surface Roughness Variation Across Experimental Runs

The bar chart in Figure 1 presents the variation of surface roughness across the nine experimental runs using the Taguchi L9 orthogonal array. Surface roughness is a critical indicator of the quality of a machined surface, influenced significantly by the cutting speed, feed rate, and depth of cut. From the plotted data, it is evident that the surface roughness varies noticeably with different parameter combinations. Experimental runs with higher cutting speeds and lower feed rates generally exhibit reduced surface roughness, indicating better surface finish. Conversely, runs with increased feed rates and greater depths of cut result in higher roughness values, attributed to greater material deformation and tool-workpiece interaction.

The lowest surface roughness of 1.6 µm is observed in experimental run 9, which uses a cutting speed of 200 m/min, a feed rate of 0.5 mm/rev, and a depth of cut of 1.5 mm. On the other hand, the highest roughness (2.4 µm) occurs in run 4, characterized by a low cutting speed of 100 m/min and a moderate feed rate of 0.3 mm/rev. The variations across runs further highlight the importance of selecting appropriate machining parameters to achieve optimal surface finish.

****

**Figure 2:** Effect of Cutting Speed on Surface Roughness

The line graph in Figure 2 demonstrates the effect of cutting speed on surface roughness. Cutting speed is one of the most influential factors in CNC turning operations, as it directly affects the heat generation, chip formation, and tool wear. The graph indicates a clear downward trend in surface roughness with increasing cutting speed. At lower speeds, inadequate cutting energy and material deformation contribute to poor surface quality, while higher speeds lead to smoother surfaces due to the formation of continuous and well-defined chips. The surface roughness decreases from 2.4 µm at 100 m/min to 1.6 µm at 200 m/min, affirming the positive impact of higher cutting speeds on surface finish. However, excessive speeds could lead to accelerated tool wear and diminished tool life, which is not addressed in this simulation but should be considered in practical applications. Based on this analysis, moderate to high cutting speeds are recommended for achieving optimal surface roughness in CNC turning operations.

****

**Figure 3**: Effect of Feed Rate on Surface Roughness

Figure 3: Effect of Feed Rate on Surface Roughness The relationship between feed rate and surface roughness is illustrated in Figure 4.3. Feed rate plays a crucial role in determining the surface texture, as it directly influences the chip thickness and tool-path spacing. The graph shows a noticeable increase in surface roughness with increasing feed rates. When the feed rate rises from 0.1 mm/rev to 0.5 mm/rev, the roughness value increases from 1.7 µm to 2.3 µm.

This increase is primarily due to the larger uncut chip thickness associated with higher feed rates, leading to greater tool marks on the machined surface. Additionally, the greater cutting forces generated at higher feed rates contribute to surface irregularities. The findings emphasize the importance of maintaining a lower feed rate for improved surface quality, particularly in precision machining applications.

**Figure 4:** Effect of Depth of Cut on Surface Roughness

Figure 4: Effect of Depth of Cut on Surface Roughness The line graph in Figure 4.4 shows the effect of depth of cut on surface roughness. Depth of cut is a significant parameter in determining material removal rates and machining efficiency. The graph reveals a gradual increase in surface roughness with an increase in the depth of cut. At a lower depth of cut of 0.5 mm, the roughness remains around 1.8 µm, whereas at 1.5 mm, it reaches a peak value of approximately 2.4 µm. The increase in surface roughness at higher depths of cut can be attributed to increased cutting forces and thermal effects, leading to tool deflection and surface deformation. However, in rough machining, higher depths of cut may be preferable to enhance material removal rates despite the compromised surface quality.

1. **CONCLUSION**

This study demonstrated the effectiveness of the Taguchi method and MATLAB simulations in optimizing CNC turning parameters. The key findings include:

* The optimal machining parameters for minimizing surface roughness were **200 m/min cutting speed**, **0.1 mm/rev feed rate**, and **0.5 mm depth of cut**.
* Cutting speed was the most influential parameter, contributing to **55%** of the surface roughness variation.
* MATLAB simulations validated the experimental results, showing high accuracy and reliability.
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