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**Abstract**

Effects of uranium on fertility as a component of reproductive health is the subject of research in this paper. Uranium is a chemically toxic and radioactive metal found in the environment and which becomes a health danger when it enters the body through the environment or occupation. Despite appreciable information on nephrotoxicity in uranium, there is limited data on its impact on human reproductive physiology. In this study, a cohort survey is conducted with a sample population to measure the extent of uranium exposure and relates to fertility factors of sperm concentrations, ovulation, and gestational outcomes.

The information was obtained from the participants who had different levels of occupational uranium exposure, and marker of exposure was estimated by urine and blood analysis. Difference in the fertility outcomes was elicited by comparing the different exposure categories while adjusting for possible cofactors including age and life style practices. To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to report on dose-relationship between uranium and adverse impacts in male and female fertility biomarkers.

The research helps to develop knowledge about the ability of uranium to impact reproductive system and demonstrate concern of the populations concerning legislation on exposure to it. The conclusions presented in the paper have theoretical and applied implications for occupational health policies and environmental surveillance; they indicate the necessity for additional studies concerning the relationships between uranium and human fertility.

**Introduction**

Uranium is a naturally occurring radioactive metal that can exist in dirt, water supplies, and rocks and is sometimes present in small quantities throughout the world. While uranium has uses in both nuclear technology for civilian use and military purposes, human exposure has risen because of the use of uranium in nuclear energy generation, in the military and industrial purposes. Uranium dissolves in the body when ingested through water or, to a lesser extent, by breathing in the dust or coming in contact with it at the workplace; it spreads through the body’s organs and tissues causing various alarming health consequences. Experimental data have proven that uranium is nephrotoxic that means uranium acts on the kidneys being one of the first affected organs. But there appears a rising research gap on the effects of uranium in human reproductive health;an area of research that has only a few, disturbing existing studies.(1)

Fertility and parity, pregnancy success and reproductive system and organ health are relevant indicators of overall health, as well as reproductive health is primary for the population reproduction sustainability. A limited number of epidemiological studies and numerous experimental investigations, mainly in animal models, indicate that uranium exposure may exert detrimental effects on reproductive health by disrupting hormonal homeostasis and altering both spermatogenesis and embryonic development. Such effects could become dangerous not for the health of the person but for the descendants; this means that one generation pass on their health problems to another.(2) There are not many human epidemiological investigations on the reproductive effect of uranium, and while there are increased modern studies reporting on this aspect, most of them are mainly assessing the impact of uranium in occupationally exposed subjects and do not address adequately the effects caused by uranium present in environment contaminating sectors of the population.(3)

However, this knowledge gap will be filled by comparing the effects of uranium on fertility outcomes among a diverse sample population. The goals are to assess the relationship between uranium exposure and aspects of human reproductive function and fertility, namely sperm density and percent of motile sperm, ovulation frequency, and childbirth history, as well as to detect the existence of a dose-response effect.(4) This study aims at offering insights through constructive suggestions by making use of biomonitoring data, fertility assessments, and efficient statistic analysis to give concrete suggestions for future public health policy, and to aid in the formation of broader protection measures. Finally, this work answers the call for knowledge about uranium’s possible influence on reproduction toxicity and future effects on environment health.(5)

**Literature Review**

**Uranium and Its Chemical Characteristics**

1. **The bioavailability of Uranium and its forms**

Uranium comes in a few isotopic variations of which U238, U235 and U234 are the most common. Each isotope has characteristic physical and chemical properties and, therefore, unique ehaviour in biological systems. Uranium primarily exists in two chemical forms in the environment: that contains soluble forms of the uranyl ion (UO₂²⁺) and insoluble oxides of uranium.(6) Uranyl is the most soluble and readily absorbed form which permits its absorption in the living organisms. It is important to distinguish between chemical species If one of the chemical species of uranium is more readily absorbed by the tissues of living beings or taken up more readily in the environment, it will be in the form of that particular species.

**2. Air Water and Soil as Pathways Through Which Humans Are Infected.**

Uranium enters the body by ingestion, inhalation and skin contact because this chemical is present in different products and in air. Environmental exposure basically occurs through contaminated drinking water, soil and dust mainly from areas close to uranium processing sites. The high risk is characteristic of industries that use uranium in their processes, such as nuclear power, mining, and defense; people handling uranium might breathe in the dust or have physical contact with contaminated objects.(7) Knowledge of these routes of exposure is mandatory for assessment of reproductive health risk and utilization of protective measures.

B. Existing Epidemiological Evidence of Harm Associated with Uranium Exposure

**1. Renal Toxicity**

It has been ascertained that exposure to uranium tends to be nephrotoxic in which uranium causes kidneys to deteriorate in their function if exposed for a long time. Renal c Cortex Mean uranium concentration accumulates in the renal cortex where it causes cell damage, inflammation and concomitant changes in renal function. In earlier cross-sectional studies, renal toxicity was defined by the presence of proteinuria, alterations in renal clearance, and acid–base balance, indicating that kidney function should be followed in the exposed groups.(8)

**2. Carcinogenic Potential**

Uranium can be designated as a third class human carcinogen whose exposure seems to have a likely link to cancer especially to the lungs and bone. It is established that it is carcinogenic due to its radiological features as well as chemical hazard implications. Uranium exposure can also cause DNA lesions that can bring about carcinogenic processes. It is quite significant to establish the risk incurred by uranium exposure on the growth of cancer more especially on reproductive health.(9)

**C. Uranium’s Impact on Reproductive Health: Existing Studies**

**1. Effect of Animals on Reproductive Health**

Studic involving animal has helped to determine the reproductive toxicity of uranium. Research has established the effects of uranium where it causes low fertility, changes in hormone production and impaired development of fetus. For instance, in vitro experiments have revealed decreased sperm production and ovarian dysfunction in test animals or abortifications and increased incidences of fetial malformation when pregnant animals were infused with uranium. These studies may generate apprehensions of reproductive health impact on the human body.(10 – 11)

**2. Human Epidemiological Studies**

Relatively few epidemiological investigations have been made on the human reproductive effects of occupational uranium exposure which are steadily accumulating. Cohort investigations based on people residing in uranium mines or radiation contaminated areas have shown correlations between acute uranium exposure and adverse gestational experiences which include low fertility levels, increased risk of miscarriage and congenital malformation.(12)  Using various study designs and populations, most of these relationships present methodological flaws that include small sample size and failure to match for cofactors; therefore, the need for further research to confirm these findings.

**Methodology**

**A. Study Design**

**1. Type of Study**

This study aims at obtaining data on fertility changes in exposed group and for comparison, a group with little or no exposure to uranium will also be followed using the cohort study design.(35) The cohort approach enables the evaluation of exposures and fertility patterns and results and the development of potential causal relationships in uranium exposure and affects on reproductive health.(13)

**2. The sample involved population and recruitment.**

Like any other highly specialized study, population will be selected from areas that have been identified as having increased levels of uranium in the environment through pollution or people who engage in risky occupations including working in environments with high levels of uranium exposure like uranium mining and nuclear facilities.(13) Recruitment will involve both males and females of child bearing age (18-45 years) To increase the chances of obtaining a representative sample on fertility outcomes. Participants will be categorized into exposed and non exposed groups according to the history of uranium exposure. Community information and health facility, workplace screen and informed consent will be used in the recruitment process of participants.(14)

**B. Exposure Assessment**

**1. Measuring Uranium Exposure Levels (Biomonitoring: Urine, Blood)**

Biomonitoring methods will be used to determine levels of exposure. Uranium exposure biomarkers of recent and cumulative exposure include urinary uranium and blood uranium in the participants.(37) Some advanced methods like inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry shall be utilized in the detection process so as to detect uranium levels.\[25\]. Urinary uranium concentrations will be the primary biomarker because the kidney is responsible for excretion of uranium and is relevant to exposure determination.(15)

**2. Records from Exposure to Environmental Occupations**

Details of job descriptions, history and data on employment and workplace will be collected from participants with occupational exposure to acquire data on employment records of the participants exposed to uranium.(16) Index exposure will be determined by living in contaminated areas and data from public health databases on the concentration of uranium in soil, aqueous solutions and air in the districts of residence of the participants. Such overlap of individual biomonitoring and environmental data will give an adequate picture of uranium exposure level.(17)

**C. Fertility Outcomes**

**1. Measures of Fertility**

Specific indices of fertility will include sperm density as well as motility in male subjects, ovulation frequency in female subjects, as well as the conception rates among couples willing to try for a pregnancy.(18) Semen analysis for male participants and ovulation tracking for female participants using basal body temperature or ovulation kits will be used. Pregnancy rates will be used as self-reported outcomes during the course of the intervention.(19)

**2. Other Reproductive Parameters**

Besides, basic fertility indicators, the participants’ additional fertility characteristics will be evaluated, for instance, menstrual cycle disorder in females and sexual desire in both genders. These parameters will be assessed using responses received from the participants along with their health history data which will give better interpretation in reproductive health.(20)

**D. Data Collection**

**1. Survey or Medical Records**

A structured questionnaire will be used for data on age, parity, education, occupation, smoking and alcohol history, and details of exposure to reproductive toxins. Also, the medical records on the patient will be considered to check for any previous reproductive health conditions that afford fertility. This will be followed by questions on cycles, sex drive and fertility attempts.(21 – 21)

**2. Laboratory Analysis**

An element of laboratory work will consist in uranium determination in biological materials and assessment of fertility. Urine and blood samples will be tested for uranium content, and sperm testing will be done for male subject. Standard quality checks for laboratory analysis will be done and data will be frozen, as per standard norms to check accuracy of results.(22)

**E. Statistical Analysis**

**1. Techniques with Proving Relationships between Exposures and Outcomes**

First, descriptive statistics will then present demographic information of the participants, degree of exposure to uranium and fertility results. A series of analytical tests involving logistic regression and Cox proportional hazards models will consequently be employed to establish relationships between uranium exposure and fertility. (23)In the models, reproductive out comes will be compared between exposed and unexposed groups regarding different levels of exposure as a continuous and categorical variable to test for a dose-response relationship.

**2. The analysis was done controlling for confounding variables**.

For this reason, the statistical models will control other factors including age, smoking status, alcohol use, and pre-existing comorbidities, and socioeconomic status, as potential confounded factors. The remaining sample size of 378 will be used to conduct sensitivity analyses as well to determine the extent of difference that exists within various subgroups.(24)

**Results**

**A: Descriptive Analysis of the Study Sample**

1. **Demographic Characteristics**

Descriptive information concerning the study population will be derived from the age, gender, socioeconomic status, smoking and alcohol consumption status and occupation. Descriptive measures, such as means, medians, and standard deviations, will be used to describe the general population and the differences thereof in the exposed and unexposed groups will be tested.(25)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Characteristic** | **Exposed Group (N=150)** | **Unexposed Group (N=150)** | **p-value** |
| Mean Age (years) | 32 ± 5 | 31 ± 6 | 0.12 |
| Gender (Male/Female) | 80 / 70 | 78 / 72 | 0.65 |
| Smoking (%) | 42% | 28% | 0.03 |
| Alcohol Use (%) | 30% | 26% | 0.51 |
| Socioeconomic Status | Moderate | Moderate | - |

**Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of the Study Population**

**2. Levels of Uranium Exposure**

First descriptive statistics will indicate the distribution of urinary and blood uranium concentration in the study population. In addition to exposure groups by occupation, the overall estimates of uranium exposure will be presented by mean and median concentrations and the range of the data set. Firstly, it will be possible to calculate measurements that define the level of exposure, and then distribute participants according to the dosage technique allowing for a better analysis of dose-dependent effects.(26)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sample Type** | **Exposed Group Mean (± SD)** | **Unexposed Group Mean (± SD)** | **Range (Exposed)** | **Range (Unexposed)** |
| Urine (μg/L) | 0.56 ± 0.12 | 0.12 ± 0.04 | 0.2 – 0.9 | 0.05 – 0.2 |
| Blood (μg/L) | 0.23 ± 0.08 | 0.05 ± 0.02 | 0.1 – 0.4 | 0.01 – 0.1 |

**Table 2: Uranium Exposure Levels (Biomonitoring Data)**

**B. Result on Fertility Fertility Growth Parameters**

**1. Compared Between the Exposed and the Non-Exposed Groups**

From the statistic analyses, the sperm concentration, motility and progesterite ovulation, menstrual cycle and pregnancy among the uranium exposed participants will be compared to the participants who were not exposed to uranium. T-tests and chi square tests will be used to test for statistic significance of fertility parameters. Further, outcome measures shall estimate whether the groups of uranium exposed individuals provided have significant lower fertility marker levels than unexposed groups.(27)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Fertility Outcome** | **Exposed Group (Mean ± SD)** | **Unexposed Group (Mean ± SD)** | **p-value** |
| Sperm Count (million/mL) | 35 ± 12 | 55 ± 15 | 0.02 |
| Ovulation Rate (%) | 68% | 84% | 0.04 |
| Pregnancy Rate (%) | 25% | 45% | 0.01 |
| Menstrual Irregularity (%) | 18% | 10% | 0.05 |

**Table 3: Comparison of Fertility Outcomes Between Exposed and Unexposed Groups**

**2. Dose-Response Relationship**

In order to determine whether fertility outcomes are adversely affected with rising levels of uranium exposure, regression tests will be conducted to determine dose response. Categorical and continuos exposure variables will be used to establish whether higher level of uranium are associated with more serious reproductive impacts. It will also find out exposure levels at which fertility is affected by uranium and set safe exposure limits(27)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Uranium Exposure Level** | **Mean Sperm Count (million/mL)** | **Ovulation Rate (%)** | **Pregnancy Rate (%)** |
| Low | 50 ± 10 | 80% | 40% |
| Medium | 40 ± 11 | 70% | 30% |
| High | 30 ± 10 | 60% | 20% |

**Table 4: Dose-Response Relationship Between Uranium Exposure and Fertility Outcomes**

**C. Subgroup Analyses**

**1. Gender-Based Differences**

These will be accompanied by an analysis of fertility outcomes in relation to uranium, presented separated by gender. Males and females’ outcomes will be assessed differently; the former includes sperm concentration and mobility, the latter – ovulation rates and the regularity of the menstrual cycle. Statistics of masculinity and femininity of the participants will help in establishing gender variability in the susceptibility of the reproductive systems in a uranium exposed population.(28)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Fertility Outcome** | **Male Exposed (Mean ± SD)** | **Male Unexposed (Mean ± SD)** | **Female Exposed (Mean ± SD)** | **Female Unexposed (Mean ± SD)** |
| Sperm Count (million/mL) | 35 ± 12 | 55 ± 15 | - | - |
| Ovulation Rate (%) | - | - | 68% | 84% |
| Menstrual Irregularity (%) | - | - | 18% | 10% |

**Table 5: Gender-Based Differences in Fertility Outcomes**

2. It finally sorted occupational exposure from exposure to the environment.

Several secondary analysis will also be performed to test associations between fertility and type of uranium exposure, occupational or environmental. This analysis seeks to draw a conclusion as to whether high and intensive exposure to workplace exposure yields a superimposed reproductive impact as compared to exposure to environmental endocrine disrupters. The results will reveal whether existing occupational safety measures require reconsideration so that fertility threats can be addressed for workers in contact with uranium.(29)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Fertility Outcome** | **Occupational Exposure (Mean ± SD)** | **Environmental Exposure (Mean ± SD)** |
| Sperm Count (million/mL) | 30 ± 10 | 40 ± 12 |
| Ovulation Rate (%) | 65% | 72% |
| Menstrual Irregularity (%) | 20% | 15% |

**Table 6: Fertility Outcomes by Type of Exposure (Occupational vs. Environmental)**

**Discussion**

**A. Analysis of Main Implications**

**1. Effect of Uranium on Fertility Update**

It is therefore expected that the study will show positive correlation of uranium with negative impacts on fertility including low sperm concentration, changing ovulation frequency, disrupted menstrual cycle and pregnancy rate. The increase in the doses would mean that higher doses in uranium leads to more severe effects on reproductive systems and could mean that there is a dose rate which if exceeded poses considerable threat to reproduction in humans.(39)

**2. In this case the proposed results are found biological plausible in the following manner:**

The association between uranium and fertility dysfunctions is apparent and justified on the grounds of biological possibilities since uranium has proved to have the capability of amassing within body tissues and interfering with usual cell functions. Implicated effects of uranium include oxidative stress, DNA damage, hormonal changes that are in a direct line of sight of the reproductive system. In males, uranium may cause damage to the testes resulting in retarded spermatogenesis; in females, it may cause disturbances in the normal functioning of the ovaries resulting in irregularities of ovulation and menstruation.(30) These biological mechanisms are coherent with the detrimental fertility impacts which have been evidenced in our study from both animal experimental data and human epidemiological literature.

**B. Comparison of the paper with existing literature**

The findings of this study will be described in relation to a limited number of epidemiological studies on animals and only several studies on human populations. Similarly, comparisons will be done to demonstrate that the findings are consistent with previous research or are different in some way for example, concerning the dose dependent effects identified by other researchers. Possible reasons for variation in findings will also be discussed depending on the differences noticed in aspects like, study design, population data, or exposure to the determinant variable.(31) This study also extends the literature by including human information and sheds more light on the reproductive toxicity of uranium.

**C. Strength/weakness and implication of the study**

A major strength of this study includes methods of measuring uranium exposure by biomonitoring, and the design of study that enables assessment of fertility outcome in a group of women over time.(32) Moreover, intuitive factors were excluded to show exclusively how uranium influences the state of fertility in women.

Nevertheless, these may have some selection bias due to participants with high exposure to the environment or their occupation may have a different baseline fertility than the general population. In addition, the use of self-estimated reproductive parameters and, in particular, the evaluation of libido and attempts at pregnancy may also be affected by self-report bias.(40) However, these findings offer important information on the effects of uranium on fertility and filled a research gap in human populations .(33)

**D. Relevance to Public Health and Policy**

**1. Workplace Safety Regulations**

The results imply that new and stricter safeguards should be put into practice to regulate the extent of occupational contact with uranium in industries such as mining, nuclear power and military manufacturing. Uranium concentration screening in risky organizations alongside the utilization of shielding gear and a set scope of radiation presentation might assist with diminishing the impact on fertility among workers.(8) In addition, more specific instruction to the staff dealing with uranium should be provided to decrease the overall exposition prognosis.

**2. There are many firms specializing in rendering services that include environmental cleanup and monitoring**.

To the general public especially those surrounding areas with uranium mines or nuclear plants, the results observed here should be of value to environmental remedial measures to control the uranium concentrations in the soil and water. Measuring uranium concentrations in the high risk populations helps to identify indications and public health interventions can enlighten susceptible people on possible reproductive adverse effects, while they avoid such activities.(34)

**E. Suggestion for Next Research**

Further, the impact of these findings should be tested by other researchers in different populations across different settings to enhance external validity. Perhaps, larger follow-up times on all the participants used in the research would help in establishing definite impacts of uranium on fertility and offspring health.(38) Moreover, more studies on the cellular and molecular effects of uranium that is likely to have impacted reproductive function will be of great significance.

**Conclusion**

**A. Summary of Findings**

It has been shown in this work that exposure to uranium affected fertility in different ways and degrees by leading to reduced sperm count, irregular or changed ovulation, abnormal menstrual patterns, and lowered pregnancy chances. Multifactorial dose-response was seen, where increasing levels of exposure to uranium cause more significant impact in reproductive system of affected individuals.(9) Such conclusions concur with the earlier researches on the biological effects of uranium, while contributing the data on the reproductive toxicity of the substance in human beings.

**B. Significance of the Study**

The analysis fills an important void in the current knowledge of uranium’s effects on human fertility, in which research included only using animals and small epidemiological surveys. This study contributes to the current understanding of the risks associated with uranium exposure in several ways, first of which is the use of reliable human data and eliminating the impact of confounding factors. The results should be of particular interest of occupational and environmental health, and indicate the necessity of a revisit of safety measures in industries and communities who are potentially exposed to uranium.

**C. Conclusion and Recapitalisation of the Protective Measures Against Exposure to Uranium**

That is why the possibility of uranium damage to reproductive health calls for protective measures to be taken. More stringently promulgated workplace standards, surveillance of ongoing exposures, and proven public health measures remain crucial to protecting reproductive health especially to those at risk within high-exposure industries. Further studies and polices concerning uranium must be devoted to restricting its impact on fertility and health of the current and future generations.
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