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**ABSTRACT**

Urban sustainability depends critically on solid waste management (SWM), especially in high-end subdivisions where rich communities produce large volumes of non-biodegradable waste. With an eye toward implementation difficulties, community involvement, and adherence to national and local laws—particularly Republic Act 9003—this study examines SWM practices in high-end subdivisions in Davao City. Data from pertinent publications and peer-reviewed studies was synthesized using a systematic literature review (SLR).

Results show that although high-end subdivisions usually rely on private waste collecting services run by Homeowners' Associations (HOAs), compliance with waste segregation and recycling practices remains inconsistent due of behavioral gaps, limited community participation, and inadequate policy enforcement. Although HOAs are essential for planning collections and encouraging compliance, lack of technical support and limited resources sometimes hinder their capacity. Among the challenges noted are poor coordination between public and private stakeholders, low awareness campaigns, and insufficient infrastructure for recycling and composting.

This study emphasizes the necessity of integrating informal waste systems with formal solid waste management practices, enhancing institutional support, and implementing innovative technological solutions. Policy recommendations encompass the rigorous enforcement of RA 9003, the creation of centralized Material Recovery Facilities (MRFs), and the implementation of incentive systems for compliant households. The results emphasize the necessity for tailored interventions to meet the specific requirements of high-end subdivisions, ensuring that solid waste management systems are compatible with environmental sustainability and urban convenience. Future research should investigate the influence of technology, public-private collaborations, and community engagement strategies to improve solid waste management practices in affluent neighborhoods.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Solid waste management (SWM) is an essential component of urban sustainability, especially in high-end subdivisions where waste generation patterns diverge from those in other residential zones. High-income communities frequently generate substantial quantities of non-biodegradable waste, including plastics, electronic waste, and packaging materials, which needed customized solutions for effective management (Ferronato & Torretta, 2019; Wilson et al., 2013). Rapid urbanization in Davao City has made it even more important for these wealthy neighborhoods to have good SWM practices, since poor waste management can damage the environment and pose health risks to people (Olalo, Nakatani, & Fujita, 2022).

The Ecological Solid Waste Management Act of 2000 (Republic Act 9003) in the Philippines sets out a complete plan for dealing with SWM. However, its implementation in upscale subdivisions frequently encounters difficulties concerning compliance, enforcement, and infrastructure (Bagolong, 2017; Go & Caelian, 2020). Homeowners' associations (HOAs) in these communities are assigned the responsibility of enforcing waste segregation and disposal regulations, yet numerous individuals encounter challenges due to insufficient resources and disparate levels of resident engagement (Almaden, 2021).

Studies indicate that community engagement and conduct are critical determinants of solid waste management success (Baltazar & Seki, n.d.; Morales, 2015). In Davao City, upscale subdivisions generate a substantial amount of urban waste, requiring customized strategies to address specific issues like waste segregation, recycling programs, and community engagement. Research indicates that wealthy communities typically possess the resources necessary for the implementation of advanced solid waste management systems, yet may lack the motivation or awareness essential for active engagement (Limon et al., 2020). Addressing these gaps through strategic policies and community involvement can make waste management more sustainable.

This research aims to look into how solid waste is handled in high-end neighborhoods in Davao City, with a focus on challenges in the implementation, community involvement, and compliance with local and national policies. The research questions are as follows:

1. What are the current SWM practices in high-end subdivisions in Davao City?

2. What challenges and barriers exist in implementing effective SWM in these areas?

3. How can policies and community engagement be improved to enhance SWM practices?

This study aims to identify deficiencies in existing solid waste management systems and propose practical solutions specifically designed for upscale subdivisions in Davao City.

**METHODOLOGY**

This study utilizes a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology to collect, analyze, and synthesize existing research on solid waste management (SWM) in residential areas, specifically in upscale subdivisions. The review process was structured as follows:

**Search Strategy**

The literature search was performed using academic databases, including Scopus and Google Scholar. Keywords and search strings included are “solid waste management”, “high-end subdivisions”, “affluent communities”, “Davao City”, “residential waste practices”, “homeowners’ associations”, “waste segregation and recycling”, and “residential waste practices”. Boolean operators (AND, OR) were employed to combine search terms, ensuring comprehensive coverage of relevant studies.

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

*Inclusion Criteria:*

1. Peer-reviewed journal articles published within the last 10 years (2014-2024);

2. Studies focusing on SWM implementation in residential areas;

3. Papers addressing challenges, practices, or policy implications in SWM;

*Exclusion Criteria:*

1. Studies focusing on broader communities that extend beyond solely residential areas;

2. Non-peer-reviewed articles, opinion pieces, and reports;

3. Papers unrelated to SWM in residential contexts;

**Data Extraction**

Data were extracted using a standardized template, including: Author(s), Year, Title, Study Focus, Key Findings, Category, Geographical Scope, Relevance to the study, Primary Objectives (Appendix 1). The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) method was applied to document the screening process. From an initial pool of 15 papers, the titles, abstracts, and full texts were reviewed. Four papers were identified as highly relevant because they directly address residential solid waste management (SWM) practices, making them particularly significant to the systematic literature review. Additionally, four papers were classified as partially relevant, as they are somewhat related to the topic but have limitations in scope or focus.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Current Solid Waste Management Practices**

Almaden (2021) emphasized that residential subdivisions frequently depend on private waste collection services coordinated by Homeowners' Associations (HOAs). Key practices include waste segregation at the household level, recycling initiatives, and basic composting. However, segregation policies are still not always followed, mostly because they are not being monitored or enforced.

Bagolong (2017) found that community involvement in Davao City is important for RA 9003 to be carried out properly. While HOAs play a big role in making sure trash is properly sorted and collection times are followed, their abilities are often limited by a lack of resources.

Go and Caelian (2020) observed that in highly urbanized cities, barangays are pivotal in solid waste management, executing waste segregation, collection, and disposal. Nonetheless, obstacles persist in reconciling local practices with national policies.

**Behavioral and Community Participation Factors**

Limon, Vallente, & Corales (2020) reported that rural households possess positive beliefs about SWM but face challenges in consistent application due to lack of awareness and proper facilities. This finding highlights the critical role of education and awareness campaigns in fostering sustainable waste management behaviors.

Morales (2015) observed similar patterns in urban households along the Tullahan River, where community behavior and attitudes often hinder effective SWM. Poor compliance with segregation guidelines and reliance on LGU-driven waste collection were cited as key issues.

Baltazar & Seki (2016) documented that household waste production and disposal behaviors vary significantly, with many households practicing improper disposal methods due to convenience or lack of awareness.

**Challenges in SWM Implementation**

General & Saguban (2024) identified key challenges in implementing SWM, such as insufficient infrastructure for recycling and composting, behavioral resistance to change, and weak enforcement of policies. These issues are exacerbated in high-income communities where waste generation rates are higher due to increased consumption.

Olalo, Nakatani, & Fujita (2022) highlighted that Davao City faces challenges in integrating sustainable SWM practices due to limited resources, technical expertise, and a lack of coordination between public and private stakeholders. The study proposed an optimized process network for integrated SWM to address these gaps.

**Role of Institutional Support**

Across the studies, HOAs emerged as critical players in SWM implementation, particularly in high-end subdivisions (Almaden, 2021; Bagolong, 2017). Their role includes organizing waste collection schedules, promoting compliance, and addressing resident concerns. However, resource limitations and lack of technical capacity often hinder their effectiveness.

The studies also emphasized the role of LGUs in providing technical and financial support to ensure compliance with RA 9003. Go & Caelian (2020) stressed that barangays in highly urbanized cities are pivotal in bridging the gap between policy and practice.

The findings align with global studies such as Ferronato & Torretta (2019) and Wilson et al. (2013), which highlight that waste management in developing countries is often hindered by weak policy enforcement, inadequate facilities, and limited community participation. For example, a study on gated communities in Metro Manila revealed that segregation and recycling rates improved significantly with targeted educational campaigns and strict enforcement of local ordinances (Renomeron-Morales, 2014). In Davao City, these issues are mirrored in high-end subdivisions where HOAs struggle with residents’ inconsistent participation and limited awareness in general (Bagolong, 2017).

Troschinetz & Mihelcic (2009) emphasize the importance of integrating informal waste systems with formal SWM practices. This approach could be adapted to high-end subdivisions, where informal recyclers could complement formal collection and segregation systems.

Policy implications include the need for RA 9003 to be strictly enforced and for HOAs and LGUs to work together more. Putting in place centralized MRFs and setting up reward systems for households that follow the rules are practical ways to deal with problems that already exist. Using technology like digital platforms for waste management can also make things clearer and work better.

**CONCLUSION**

The studies that were looked at showed how behavioral, institutional, and infrastructure factors all work together to make SWM work well in residential areas, even in upscale subdivisions in Davao City. Although the roles of HOAs and LGUs are very important, there are still big issues with public knowledge, compliance, and infrastructure. Future research should look into how to combine new technologies with partnerships between the government and the private sector to solve these issues. It's also important to change policy frameworks to fit the needs of high-income areas. This is to make sure that environmental sustainability is balanced with usability and ease of use.

**REFERENCES**

1. Almaden, A. M. (2021). Development of a better solid waste management program for sustainable development in a residential subdivision. International Journal of Multidisciplinary: Applied Business and Education Research, 2(11), 1008-1020. [10.11594/10.11594/ijmaber.02.11.03](https://doi.org/10.11594/10.11594/ijmaber.02.11.03)
2. Bagolong, S. P. (2017). Community participation on the implementation of ecological solid waste management Act of 2000 (RA 9003) in Davao City. The Journal of Solid Waste Technology and Management, 43(4), 305-309. <https://doi.org/10.5276/JSWTM.2017.305>
3. Baltazar, D. E., & Seki, E. Household Waste Production and Disposal: Perception and Behavior of Sampled Households in Barangay Looc, Calamba City.
4. Ferronato, N., & Torretta, V. (2019). Waste Mismanagement in Developing Countries: A Review of Global Issues. Waste Management, 80, 32–41. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16061060
5. General, J. R. D., & Saguban, L. E. (2024). Dichotomy Of Solid Waste Management: Practices And Challenges. Ignatian International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, 2(7), 890-916.

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13118475>

1. Go, J. M., & Caelian, M. V. (2020). Implementation of the Solid Waste Management of Barangays in a Highly Urbanized City. Philippine Social Science Journal, 3(2), 153-154. <https://doi.org/10.52006/main.v3i2.265>
2. Limon, M. R., Vallente, J. P. C., & Corales, N. C. T. (2020). Solid waste management beliefs and practices in rural households towards sustainable development and pro-environmental citizenship. Global Journal of Environmental Science and Management, 6(4), 441-456. <https://doi.org/10.22034/gjesm.2020.04.02>
3. Morales, S. R. (2015). Household-Level Needs Assessment on Solid Waste Management of Selected Residents Living Along Tullahan Riverways: A Tool Guide for Barangay Project Development. Available at SSRN 2684406. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2684406
4. Olalo, K. F., Nakatani, J., & Fujita, T. (2022). Optimal Process network for integrated solid waste management in Davao City, Philippines. Sustainability, 14(4), 2419. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14042419
5. Troschinetz, A.M., & Mihelcic, J.R. (2009). Sustainable Recycling of Municipal Solid Waste in Developing Countries. Waste Management, 29(2), 915–923. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2008.04.016
6. Wilson, D.C., Velis, C., & Cheeseman, C. (2013). Role of Informal Sector Recycling in Waste Management in Developing Countries. Waste Management, 29(6), 629–635.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2005.09.005

**APPENDIX**

Appendix 1. List of research papers retained following the PRISMA screening process.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Paper 1** | **Paper 3** | **Paper 10** | **Paper 13** | **Paper 2** | **Paper 6** | **Paper 9** | **Paper 11** |
| **Author** | Almaden, A. M. | M.R. Limon, J.P.C. Vallente, N.C.T. Corales | Saidamin Bagolong | Dalton Erick Baltazar, Erika Seki | Sheryl Renomeron-Morales | Kristin Faye Olalo, Jun Nakatani, Tsuyoshi Fujita  | Lucelle E. Saguban, John Robert D. General | Joedcel M. Go, Merlita V. Caelian​ |
| **Year** | 2021 | 2020 | 2017 | 2016 | 2014 | 2022 | 2024 | 2020​ |
| **Title** | Development of a Better Solid Waste Management Program for Sustainable Development in a Residential Subdivision | Solid Waste Management Beliefs and Practices in Rural Households Towards Sustainable Development and Pro-Environmental Citizenship | Community participation on the implementation of ESWM in Davao City​ | Household Waste Production and Disposal in Barangay Looc | Household-Level Needs Assessment on Solid Waste Management of Selected Residents Living Along Tullahan Riverways | Optimal Process Network for Integrated Solid Waste Management in Davao City, Philippines | Dichotomy of Solid Waste Management: Practices and Challenges | Implementation of SWM in Barangays in a Highly Urbanized City​ |
| **Study Focus** | Sustainable SWM program for residential subdivisions. | Rural household beliefs and practices on SWM. | Community engagement in ESWM in Davao City​ | Household waste in a flood-prone area | Assessing SWM practices in urban informal settlements. | Integrated SWM system optimization for urban settings | Challenges and practices in SWM across barangays | Implementation challenges of SWM under RA 9003 in barangays​ |
| **Key Findings** | Identified inefficiencies in waste segregation and collection. HOAs have a crucial role but lack advanced infrastructure. | Positive beliefs but inconsistent practices. Barriers include lack of facilities and weak institutional support. | Community participation is critical but inconsistent​ | High volume of unmanaged recyclables, limited awareness | Found reliance on LGU collection, limited recycling, and improper disposal like illegal dumping in riverways. | Proposed system reduces GHG emissions but needs better plastic waste management  | Low participation, lack of resources, and weak relationships between governance and residents | Moderate implementation and enforcement; urban barangays face more challenges​ |
| **Category** | SWM Implementation in Residential Areas | Beliefs, Practices, and Barriers | Community participation, ESWM​ | Waste management behavior and perception | Community Behavior and SWM Challenges | SWM system optimization | Governance, Challenges, Community Involvement | Policy implementation, urban waste management​ |
| **Relevance** | Highlights the importance of HOA participation, which is directly applicable to high-end subdivisions in Davao City. | Provides insights into community behavior and barriers to SWM implementation, which may overlap with behavioral challenges in affluent subdivisions. | High—focus on Davao City​ | Highlights public awareness and local SWM challenges | Useful as a contrast to affluent areas, showcasing differences in waste generation and management challenges across income levels. | Offers insights on advanced SWM systems relevant for urban high-income settings | High—comprehensive analysis of SWM in Philippine barangays | Moderate—examines urban areas broadly​ |
| **Primary Objectives** | Developing a sustainable SWM program for residential subdivisions. | Examining SWM beliefs and practices of rural households. | Evaluate community participation in ESWM​ | Assess waste practices, identify potential improvements | Assessing SWM needs of households along Tullahan River for project development. | Develop an optimized SWM system to reduce GHG emissions | Examine SWM practices, challenges, and governance | Assess implementation of RA 9003 in barangays​ |
| **Geographical Scope** | Urban, residential subdivisions. | Rural, coastal municipality (Currimao, Ilocos Norte). | Davao City​ | Calamba City, Philippines | Urban, informal settlements near Tullahan River. | Davao City, Philippines | Dumaguete City, Negros Oriental | Highly Urbanized City, Negros Occidental​ |
| **Focus on High-Income Communities?** | Yes, focuses on residential subdivisions, potentially high-end. | No, focuses on rural, low-income communities. | Not specified​ | No | No, focuses on informal settlements along riverways. | No, focuses on municipal waste | No | Moderate—includes income comparisons​ |
| **Study Area Description** | Residential area, socio-economic characteristics not detailed. | Rural, coastal, low-income households with basic livelihoods. | Urban setting in Davao City​ | Flood-prone barangay | Urban, informal settlements with low-income households near riverways. | Urban setting with high waste generation due to population growth  | Covers all barangays in Dumaguete | Urban and suburban barangays​ |
| **Focus on Waste Challenges in Affluent Settings** | Limited; general SWM implementation issues in residential areas. | No, focus on general SWM practices and lack of facilities. | Limited​ | No | No, challenges include improper waste disposal, lack of recycling. | Addresses urban challenges, not specific to affluence  | Not focused on affluent settings | Moderate​ |
| **Challenges in SWM** | Limited facilities for segregation, collection inefficiencies. | Lack of awareness, insufficient recycling facilities, public disinterest. | Limited awareness, inconsistent participation​ | Insufficient collection capacity, public unawareness | Illegal dumping, reliance on government collection, non-compliance. | Infrastructure gaps, plastic waste processing  | Weak community involvement, lack of funds and garbage trucks | Financial constraints, lack of expertise​ |
| **Comparison of Income Groups?** | No explicit comparison. | No explicit comparison. | No​ | No | No explicit comparison. | No | No | Yes—income groups compared​ |
| **Community Sampled** | Homeowners in residential subdivisions. | Rural households in coastal areas. | Communities in Davao City​ | 120 households in Barangay Looc | Households in informal urban settlements. | Municipal waste sources, including residential and market areas  | Barangay officials and residents from Dumaguete | Purok leaders, 261 respondents​ |
| **Existing SWM Practices** | Segregation, recycling, and composting mentioned but not detailed. | Minimal reuse, slight recycling, improper disposal methods. | Localized waste collection and segregation​ | Basic collection, limited recycling | Reliance on LGU collection, some recycling and composting, illegal dumping. | Composting, recycling, and reliance on landfilling  | Establishment of SWM committees, composting, material recovery | Moderate compliance with RA 9003 provisions​ |
| **Community Awareness and Attitudes** | Not explicitly analyzed. | Positive beliefs toward SWM but inconsistent practices. | Varying levels of awareness​ | Low awareness, unwilling to pay for SWM | Positive attitudes toward SWM but low compliance with proper practices. | Limited awareness of advanced SWM methods  | Passive participation | Moderate awareness​ |
| **Community Participation Level** | Limited engagement discussed. | Moderate awareness; weak participation in systematic SWM programs. | Low to moderate​ | Low | Reliance on local government; low individual initiative. | Low participation in waste segregation  | Low | Moderate participation in suburban barangays​ |
| **Role of HOAs or Governance** | HOAs and LGUs as key implementers of SWM. | Local government support mentioned but weak institutional structures. | Limited mention​ | City-led initiatives, limited HOA involvement | Strong dependence on LGU for collection and programs. | City government pivotal in infrastructure development  | Barangay officials lead committees | Limited—focus on LGUs​ |
| **Barriers to SWM** | Lack of facilities, non-compliance by residents. | Financial, technical, and institutional constraints. | Lack of education, financial constraints​ | Lack of awareness, funding, and enforcement | Low compliance, lack of awareness, and improper waste disposal methods. | Limited sorting capacity, reliance on basic landfilling  | Lack of resources, weak governance, poor community involvement | Insufficient funds, technical expertise​ |
| **Policy Evaluation** | Compliance with RA 9003 partially addressed. | Weak enforcement of policies; minimal local government involvement. | General overview of RA 9003​ | RA 9003, weak local enforcement | Compliance with RA 9003 limited by community practices and informal settings. | Proposes adaptations to RA 9003 for urban growth  | City and barangay ordinances partially implemented | Moderate—focus on barangay-level challenges​ |
| **Suggestions for Improvement** | Emphasis on better facilities and resident compliance. | Community workshops, recycling programs, and increased LGU support. | Increase education campaigns​ | Larger facilities, technology, public awareness | Dynamic, community-based programs, including recycling and segregation. | Enhance infrastructure, develop plastic waste treatment | Strengthen governance, improve training and resources | Training and local ordinances​ |
| **Link** | <http://ijmaberjournal.org/index.php/ijmaber/article/view/225> | <https://doi.org/10.22034/gjesm.2020.04.02> | <https://doi.org/10.5276/JSWTM.2017.305> |   |  [http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2684406](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2684406) | <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14042419> | <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13118475> | <https://doi.org/10.52006/main.v3i2.265> |
| **Degree of Relevance** | Highly relevant | Highly relevant | Highly relevant | Highly relevant | Partially relevant | Partially relevant | Partially relevant | Partially relevant |