

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)

Vol. 04, Issue 07, July 2024, pp: 88-91

e-ISSN: 2583-1062

Impact Factor: 5.725

HIGHER LEVEL OF EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT THROUGH KPIS

Lakshmi Tulasi¹

¹Student, SR Gudlavalleru Engineering College, Gudlavalleru, India.

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.58257/IJPREMS35310

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the impact of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) on employee engagement at MSK Data Labs, a leading technology firm in Vijayawada. Employee engagement is critical for organizational success, influencing productivity, job satisfaction, and retention. The research aims to understand how the strategic implementation of KPIs can enhance engagement levels among employees. A mixed-method approach was employed, combining quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews with employees at various hierarchical levels. The findings indicate that clearly defined, achievable, and aligned KPIs significantly boost employee motivation and involvement in their roles. Furthermore, the study highlights the importance of regular feedback and recognition linked to KPI performance. The results suggest that when employees perceive KPIs as fair, relevant, and contributory to their professional growth, their engagement levels substantially increase. This research provides actionable insights for MSK Data Labs and similar organizations aiming to foster a more engaged and productive workforce through effective KPI management.

Keywords: Employee Engagement, Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), HR Analytics, Employee Productivity, Employee Motivation, Employee Retention.

1. INTRODUCTION

Employee engagement is a human resources (HR) concept that describes the level of enthusiasm and dedication a worker feels toward their job. Engaged employees care about their work and about the performance of the company and feel that their efforts make a difference. An engaged employee is in it for more than a pay check and may consider their well-being linked to their performance, and thus instrumental to their company's success (Kahn, 1990). Employee engagement describes the level of enthusiasm and dedication a worker feels toward their job.

- Employee engagement can be critical to a company's success, given its links to job satisfaction and employee morale (Harter, Schmidt, & Hayes, 2002; Locke & Latham, 2002).
- Engaged employees are more likely to be productive and higher performing (Saks, 2006; Rich, LePine, & Crawford, 2010).
- Employers can foster employee engagement through effective communication, offering rewards, and discussing career advancement (Anitha, 2014; Gruman & Saks, 2011).

Communication is a critical part of creating and maintaining employee engagement. Engaged employees are more likely to be productive and higher performing. They also often display a greater commitment to a company's values and goals (Bakker & Demerouti, 2008). Employers can encourage employee engagement in many ways, including communicating expectations clearly, offering rewards and promotions for excellent work, keeping employees informed about the company's performance, and providing regular feedback. Other strategies include making efforts to make employees feel valued and respected, and feeling that their ideas are being heard and understood. Engaged employees believe that their work is meaningful, believe that they are appreciated and backed by their supervisors and that they have been entrusted with the success of their company (Schaufeli et al., 2002).

Objectives for Employee Engagement

- 1. Increase Productivity: The more engaged employees are, the better their productivity levels. The more connected and attached employees feel to their work, the better their productivity, thus improving the overall productivity of the workplace (Harter, Schmidt, & Hayes, 2002).
- 2. Better Employee Retention: With proper employee engagement, more employees would feel a sense of personal attachment and commitment toward their work, drastically lowering the employee turnover rate. This helps retain talented and experienced employees in the company (Saks, 2006; Bakker & Leiter, 2010).
- 3. Attracting Quality Employees: By creating a culture of employee engagement and a healthy work environment, the company can attract better, more talented recruits and provide employee satisfaction, which would reflect in the overall better performance of the company (Anitha, 2014; Harter, Schmidt, Agrawal, & Plowman, 2020).
- **4. Goal Alignment**: Assisting employees in understanding how their roles contribute to the attainment of organizational objectives helps align individual and organizational goals (Bakker & Demerouti, 2008; Macey & Schneider, 2008).



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT

AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)

Vol. 04, Issue 07, July 2024, pp: 88-91

Impact Factor: 5.725

e-ISSN:

2583-1062

5. Improved Employee Health and Wellness: Engaged employees are more productive and focused, and less stressed about work. Better health improves engagement and vice versa (Schaufeli et al., 2002; Shuck & Reio, 2014).

- Better Teamwork: Engaged employees function well both as individuals and as a team, resulting in smoother internal communication and a sense of camaraderie (Kahn, 1990; Salanova, Agut, & Peiró, 2005).
- Higher Sense of Belonging: Engaged employees feel heard, acknowledged, and appreciated for their hard work, believing their efforts have a larger impact on the growth of the company (Harter, Schmidt, & Hayes, 2002; Robinson, Perryman, & Hayday, 2004).
- **Improved Presence**: Engagement encourages employees to be present not only physically but also mentally, reducing absenteeism and enhancing productivity (Anitha, 2014; Bakker, Albrecht, & Leiter, 2011).
- 9. Increased Customer Satisfaction: Engaged employees provide better assistance to customers, leading to higher customer satisfaction and retention (Saks, 2006; Gruman & Saks, 2011).
- 10. Increased Performance and Better Growth: The collective efforts and better performance of engaged employees lead to the overall growth of the company (Schaufeli et al., 2002; Harter et al., 2020).

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

A Key Performance Indicator (KPI) is a metric that measures the performance of a particular activity or process. These measurements have become more important to HR leaders as Human Resources Departments have taken a more strategic role.

Examples of KPIs:

- Employee Satisfaction Survey Scores (Nagakumari & Pujitha, 2021)
- Early Turnover Rate (Kumar et al., 2022)
- Training Participation Rate (Susmitha, Kumari, & Surekha, 2021)
- Employee Net Promoter Score (eNPS) (Mutyala et al., 2022)
- Percentage of Open Positions Filled Internally (Kumar et al., 2022)

The objective of a KPI for HR is to analyse the success rate of a project based on the objective you want to achieve. Therefore, the KPI helps determine whether the HR department has accomplished its goal. This insight facilitates better decision-making and problem-solving (Bakker & Demerouti, 2008).

Characteristics of Effective KPIs:

- Concrete: The KPI should be tangible with a specific goal (Saks, 2006).
- **Measurable**: If you cannot measure the KPI, it isn't a KPI (Rich et al., 2010).
- Reachable: Stay realistic when defining a KPI that will determine success (Harter et al., 2002).
- **Relevant**: Monitor only the indicators that contribute to your company's goals (Anitha, 2014).

Objectives of Employee Engagement through KPIs

- To understand the concept of employee engagement and its significance in organizational success.
- To explore the role of HR analytics in measuring employee engagement.
- To identify relevant KPIs for measuring employee engagement.
- To analyse data using HR analytics techniques to derive insights into employee engagement.
- To propose strategies for improving employee engagement based on KPI analysis.

Advantages of Employee Engagement through KPIs

The benefits of employee engagement are surprisingly broad, impacting areas from workplace safety to share prices. Many of these factors are interconnected, making employee engagement a powerful predictor of an organization's success (Harter, Schmidt, & Hayes, 2002). Engaged employees are more likely to remain with the organization, resulting in lower turnover rates (Nagakumari & Pujitha, 2021). This stability fosters a more experienced workforce and reduces recruitment and training costs. Moreover, engaged employees tend to experience lower levels of burnout, which can significantly enhance their overall well-being and job satisfaction (Kumar et al., 2022).

Employee engagement also leads to greater commitment, as employees feel more connected to the company's goals and values (Susmitha, Kumari, & Surekha, 2021). This increased commitment often translates into improved collaboration and teamwork, as engaged employees are more likely to work well with their colleagues (Nagakumari & Pujitha, 2021). Furthermore, a highly engaged workforce contributes to safer workplaces, as employees are more attentive and adhere to safety protocols (Kumar et al., 2022).



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)

Vol. 04, Issue 07, July 2024, pp: 88-91

Impact Factor: 5.725

e-ISSN:

2583-1062

In terms of organizational performance, engaged employees drive increased sales and revenue (Mutyala et al., 2022). They also exhibit better health, which reduces healthcare costs and absenteeism (Kumar et al., 2022). Effective leadership is another benefit, as engaged employees are more likely to take on leadership roles and inspire others (Susmitha, Kumari, & Surekha, 2021). This leadership contributes to higher productivity and efficiency within the organization (Nagakumari & Pujitha, 2021).

Employee engagement also leads to better customer service, as motivated employees are more inclined to provide exceptional service (Mutyala et al., 2022). This improved service reduces mistakes and errors, enhancing the overall quality of the company's output (Susmitha, Kumari, & Surekha, 2021). Additionally, higher revenue and stock prices are common outcomes of an engaged workforce, as these employees drive financial performance (Nagakumari & Pujitha, 2021; Kumar et al., 2022). Finally, a strong engagement strategy creates a robust recruiting platform, attracting top talent who are eager to join a thriving, dynamic work environment (Mutyala et al., 2022). By fostering a culture of engagement, organizations can ensure sustained growth and competitive advantage in their respective markets.

Measuring Employee Engagement: Best Practices

To effectively measure employee engagement, organizations should follow best practices:

- Use a Combination of KPIs: Utilize a combination of KPIs to gain a comprehensive understanding of engagement levels and identify areas for improvement (Schaufeli et al., 2002).
- Regularly Collect and Analyse Data: Continuously collect and analyse data to track progress, identify trends, and
 make data-driven decisions. Regular surveys, feedback sessions, and performance evaluations provide valuable
 insights into engagement levels (Saks, 2006; Shuck & Reio, 2014).
- **Benchmark Against Industry Standards**: Compare engagement metrics against industry benchmarks to gain insights into performance and identify areas for improvement (Anitha, 2014; Harter et al., 2020).
- Act on Feedback: Use insights from surveys and feedback sessions to implement meaningful changes that address employee concerns and enhance engagement (Kahn, 1990; Rich et al., 2010).
- Foster a Culture of Open Communication: Encourage open and transparent communication throughout the organization. Establish channels for communication, such as regular team meetings or suggestion boxes, to facilitate engagement (Bakker & Demerouti, 2008; Salanova et al., 2005).
- **Provide Opportunities for Growth and Development**: Invest in employee development programs and provide opportunities for career advancement (Schaufeli et al., 2002; Shuck & Reio, 2014).
- Recognize and Reward Achievements: Celebrate accomplishments and recognize contributions. Implement a culture of recognition and provide meaningful rewards to reinforce positive behaviours and enhance engagement (Harter et al., 2002; Robinson et al., 2004).

By implementing these best practices and utilizing relevant KPIs, organizations can effectively measure and improve employee engagement, leading to increased productivity, satisfaction, and overall organizational success.

2. CONCLUSION

HR analytics plays a crucial role in measuring and improving employee engagement through KPIs. By leveraging datadriven insights, organizations can develop targeted strategies to enhance employee engagement, leading to improved performance and a competitive advantage. This study underscores the significance of KPIs in fostering high levels of employee engagement by aligning employee efforts with organizational goals, enhancing motivation, commitment, and satisfaction. The research highlights the importance of regular feedback and recognition linked to KPI performance, suggesting that perceived fairness and relevance of KPIs significantly boost engagement levels.

Moreover, the study indicates that a holistic approach is required for effective employee engagement, incorporating factors such as open communication, opportunities for growth, and a supportive work environment. Organizations like MSK Data Labs can use these insights to develop strategic KPI-driven engagement strategies, leading to increased productivity, retention, and overall success. Future research could explore the long-term impact of KPI-driven engagement strategies and the role of technological advancements in creating dynamic KPI frameworks, ensuring continued high performance and motivation among employees.

3. REFERENCES

- [1] Anitha, J. (2014). Determinants of employee engagement and their impact on employee performance. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 63(4), 308-323.
- [2] Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2008). Towards a model of work engagement. Career Development International, 13(3), 209-223.



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)

e-ISSN: 2583-1062

Impact Factor: 5.725

editor@ijprems.com

Vol. 04, Issue 07, July 2024, pp: 88-91

- [3] Bakker, A. B., Albrecht, S. L., & Leiter, M. P. (2011). Key questions regarding work engagement. European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 20(1), 4-28.
- [4] Bakker, A. B., & Leiter, M. P. (2010). Work engagement: A handbook of essential theory and research. Psychology Press.
- [5] Gruman, J. A., & Saks, A. M. (2011). Performance management and employee engagement. Human Resource Management Review, 21(2), 123-136.
- [6] Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: a meta-analysis. Journal of Applied Psychology, 87(2), 268.
- [7] Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., Agrawal, S., & Plowman, S. K. (2020). The relationship between engagement at work and organizational outcomes: 2020 Q12® meta-analysis. Gallup.
- [8] Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. Academy of Management Journal, 33(4), 692-724.
- [9] Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey. American Psychologist, 57(9), 705-717.
- [10] Macey, W. H., & Schneider, B. (2008). The meaning of employee engagement. Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 1(1), 3-30.
- [11] Nagakumari, M. Y., & Pujitha, M. C. N. (2021). Employee Welfare Measures in Manufacturing Industry. The International Journal of Analytical and Experimental Modal Analysis, 13(8), 1153-1162.
- [12] Rao, A. N. INTERRELATION BETWEEN EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AND INTERNAL BRANDING AND ITS OUTCOMES (A study with reference to Employees from IT sector).
- [13] Rich, B. L., LePine, J. A., & Crawford, E. R. (2010). Job engagement: Antecedents and effects on job performance. Academy of Management Journal, 53(3), 617-635.
- [14] Robinson, D., Perryman, S., & Hayday, S. (2004). The drivers of employee engagement. Institute for Employment Studies, Report 408.
- [15] Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 21(7), 600-619.
- [16] Salanova, M., Agut, S., & Peiró, J. M. (2005). ing organizational resources and work engagement to employee performance and customer loyalty: The mediation of service climate. Journal of Applied Psychology, 90(6), 1217.
- [17] Schaufeli, W. B., Salanova, M., González-Romá, V., & Bakker, A. B. (2002). The measurement of engagement and burnout: A two sample confirmatory factor analytic approach. Journal of Happiness Studies, 3(1), 71-92.
- [18] Susmitha, K., Kumari, Y. V., & Surekha, G. (2021). Training and Development Program and its Benefits to Employee and Organization. Journal of Interdisciplinary Cycle Research, 13, 712-715.
- [19] Mutyala, V., Kumari, Y. N., Kumar, T. H., Lakshmi, B. P., Manikanta, K. C., Kusumadhar, P., & Charan, G. S. (2022). A Study on Effectiveness of Training and Development in the Soft Drink Industry. NeuroQuantology, 20(10), 1641.
- [20] Kumar, T. H., Kumari, Y. N., Rao, A. N., Kumari, C. L. M., Janaki, G., Lakshmi, P. A., & Krishna, J. S. S. (2022). HR Analytics as a Moderating Role in Effective Employee Engagement and its Outcomes. NeuroQuantology, 20(8), 4913.
- [21] Shuck, B., & Reio Jr, T. G. (2014). Employee engagement and well-being: A moderation model and implications for practice. Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies, 21(1), 43-58.