INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)

www.ijprems.com
editor@ijprems.com

Vol. 04, Issue 06, June 2024, pp: 1806-1811

e-ISSN : 2583-1062

Impact Factor: 5.725

ANALYSING THE ECONOMIC VIABILITY OF HYDROPONIC FARMING: A COMPARATIVE COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Dr. Somabhusana Janakiballav Mishra[1], Debasish Rout[2], Debabrata Sahoo[3]

[1,2]Assistant Professor, Amity Global Business School, Bhubaneswar, India.

[3]Lecturer in Management, DAV School of Business Management, Bhubaneswar, India.

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.58257/IJPREMS35128

ABSTRACT

Innovative soilless cultivation techniques like hydroponic farming have a lot of promise for solving agricultural problems with sustainability, yield, and resource efficiency. Using a thorough cost-benefit analysis, this study evaluates the economic feasibility of hydroponic systems by contrasting their initial setup costs, operational costs, and prospective revenue with conventional soil-based farming. Data gathered from market research, stakeholder surveys, and experimental setups show that although hydroponics requires a larger initial investment, the higher yield and resource savings more than to compensate for it. Long-term economic viability is also examined, and chances and obstacles for wider adoption are noted. The findings suggest that although hydroponic farming has potential economic advantages, there are still significant obstacles to overcome, including high beginning costs and the requirement for expert labour. This research offers insightful information for investors and policymakers to make well-informed judgments about the adoption of hydroponic farming practices, in order to help farmers.

Keywords: Sustainable agriculture, Resource efficiency, Cost-benefit analysis, Hydroponic farming, Economic viability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Growing plants without soil is known as hydroponic farming, and it has gained a lot of interest recently since it can be more efficient, sustainable, and productive than conventional soil-based agriculture. The need for food rises along with the world's population, severely taxing conventional farming techniques. In addition, the constraints posed by urbanization and climate change to food production call for creative solutions such as hydroponics. A thorough explanation of hydroponic farming, its financial potential, and the need for a comparative cost-benefit analysis are given in this introduction.

The emergence of Hydroponic farming:

Using nutrient-rich water solutions, hydroponics—a term derived from the Greek words "hydro" (water) and "ponos" (labor"—involves growing plants. Early versions of hydroponics were used in the ancient civilizations of Egypt and Babylon, where this technique originated. However, with to developments in agricultural science and technology, contemporary hydroponics started to take shape in the 20th century. Hydroponic systems are used now on a wide range of scales, from big commercial operations to small home gardens. They have many advantages, such as controlled environment agriculture (CEA), efficient resource usage, and decreased reliance on arable land.

Benefits of Hydroponic Farming:

Resource efficiency:

Hydroponic systems are known for their effective utilization of nutrients and water. In contrast to conventional farming, which loses a lot of water to runoff and evaporation, hydroponic systems recycle water, cutting waste up to 90%. With careful formulation and direct delivery to the roots of the plants, nutrient solutions minimize surplus and guarantee ideal development circumstances.

Productivity and Yield:

Because hydroponic systems have a regulated environment and optimal nutrient delivery, they frequently produce higher yields than soil-based farming. Hydroponically grown plants are more productive overall because they can reach maturity more quickly and yield more crops annually. Furthermore, the capacity to arrange plants vertically in cities promotes better use of available space and raises the possibility of yield.

Environmental Impact:

Compared to conventional agriculture, hydroponic farming can help alleviate a number of environmental problems. A more sustainable farming approach includes lowering the need for pesticides, eliminating soil erosion, and using less water. In addition, hydroponics can be used in cities, which lowers the carbon footprint involved in moving food from rural farms to city dwellers.

Challenges and Criticisms:

Hydroponic farming has drawbacks in spite of its benefits. Many prospective users may find hydroponic systems prohibitive due to the significant initial cash commitment required to set them up. Infrastructure, lighting, climate control, and automated systems are all expensive. Furthermore, using power to regulate the temperature and provide artificial lighting can be energy-intensive, potentially negating some of the environmental advantages. Concerns exist over the long-term viability and scalability of hydroponic systems as well, especially in areas with restricted access to dependable energy sources and clean water.

Economic viability:

The adoption and spread of hydroponic farming are heavily influenced by its economic viability. The financial viability of hydroponic systems must be comprehended through a comparative cost-benefit analysis that takes into account a number of economic factors. This covers startup expenditures as well as ongoing costs. It also covers crop yield and quality, market demand, and possible revenue streams. By contrasting these elements with conventional soil-based farming, interested parties can decide whether hydroponic agriculture is a viable and profitable investment.

2. OBJECTIVES

By using a thorough cost-benefit analysis, this study seeks to give an empirical evaluation of the economic sustainability of hydroponic farming. Among the goals are:

1. Analyzing Up-front and Operational Costs: Calculating the total cost of capital investments, labour, energy, and fertilizer solutions for hydroponic system setup and upkeep.
2. Comparing income and Yields: Examining how hydroponic farming systems compare in terms of income potential and yield performance to conventional farming techniques. Examining crop varieties, growth cycles, and market pricing are all part of this.
3. Evaluating Long-Term Economic Sustainability: Taking market trends, maintenance costs, and technology developments into account when assessing the long-term financial stability of hydroponic farming.
4. Identifying Opportunities and Barriers: Outlining the difficulties that hydroponic systems face economically and pointing forth ways to increase profitability and cost-efficiency.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

The creative technique of hydroponic farming, which involves growing plants without soil, has come to light as a possible remedy for the problems that traditional agriculture faces, such as resource waste, environmental deterioration, and a shortage of arable land. Drawing on a wide range of research and reports, this literature review examines many facets of hydroponic farming, including its economic viability, resource efficiency, yield potential, environmental impact, and technological improvements.

A lot of research has been done on the economic feasibility of hydroponic farming. Jones (2016) claims that compared to conventional soil-based farming, the initial capital expenditure needed for hydroponic systems is far more. This covers the price of the building, machinery, lighting, air conditioning, and automated systems. However, Jones also points out that because hydroponic systems are more efficient, operating costs—especially those related to labour and water use—may be reduced. Singh and Dunn (2020) go on to say that the higher initial expenditures can be mitigated by the higher yield and quicker growth cycles that come with hydroponics, which will ultimately result in improved overall profitability.

An important benefit of hydroponic farming is its economical use of resources. Resh's (2013) research demonstrates that hydroponic farming requires up to 90% less water than conventional farming. This is so that losses from runoff and evaporation are kept to a minimum in hydroponic systems by recirculating water. In a similar vein, Savvas and Gruda (2018) stress how hydroponic systems' exact nutrient supply minimizes waste and guarantees ideal plant growth. Due to its effectiveness, hydroponic farming offers a sustainable alternative to conventional agricultural practices, especially in areas with limited water supplies.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)
www.ijprems.com
editor@ijprems.com
Vol. 04, Issue 06, June 2024, pp: 1806-1811
e-ISSN : 2583-1062
Impact Factor: 5.725

Another important area of interest is the production potential of hydroponic farming. Research has consistently demonstrated that when compared to soil-based agriculture, hydroponic systems can generate superior yields. For example, a study by Jensen (2010) discovered that because of the regulated environment and improved nutrient delivery, hydroponic lettuce yields were considerably higher than those produced in soil. In a similar vein, Kozai et al. (2015) state that, especially when employing vertical farming techniques, hydroponic systems can produce up to three times the yield of conventional farming per unit area. The capacity to regulate environmental elements like light, temperature, and humidity—factors that are sometimes limiting in traditional farming—is credited with this enhanced yield potential.

Additionally, hydroponic gardening has several positive environmental effects. A review by Van Straten et al. (2019) found that hydroponic systems can drastically lessen agriculture's environmental impact. This entails cutting back on soil erosion, pesticide and herbicide use, and water utilization. Urban areas can also use hydroponic gardening to lessen the carbon footprint of delivering food from rural farms to urban customers. To further improve their environmental sustainability, hydroponics can also make use of renewable energy sources (Barbosa et al., 2015).

The creation and improvement of hydroponic systems have been greatly aided by the quickening pace of technological advancement. AlShrouf (2017) claims that advancements in LED lighting, sophisticated climate control, and automated fertilizer delivery systems have significantly increased the effectiveness and scalability of hydroponic farming. With the precise control these technologies provide over growth conditions, yields can be increased and resource efficiency can be improved. Furthermore, to maximize plant growth and resource efficiency, hydroponic systems are rapidly incorporating artificial intelligence and machine learning (Kalantari et al., 2017).

Hydroponic farming faces a number of obstacles in its mainstream acceptance, despite its benefits. Vermeulen et al. (2013) state that a major obstacle for many prospective adopters is still the costly initial capital commitment. Moreover, using electricity exclusively for climate control and lighting might result in significant operating costs, especially in areas with expensive or unstable energy supplies. Hydroponic system management and operation also require trained workers, which can be a barrier in some places (Tiwari et al., 2018).

The research shows how hydroponic farming has a great deal of promise to solve many of the problems that traditional agriculture faces. It is a prospective substitute due to its economical use of resources, increased yield potential, and less environmental impact. However, in order to be economically viable, a large initial capital investment must be weighed against the long-term advantages of higher production and lower operating costs. The efficiency and scalability of hydroponic systems are continually being enhanced by technological developments, but in order to encourage wider usage, obstacles like high costs and the requirement for expert labor must be removed.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In order to evaluate the economic feasibility of hydroponic farming, this research uses a mixed-approach that includes the gathering and analysis of both quantitative and qualitative data. 50 hydroponic farms and conventional soil-based farms each are included in the study. Farm operators were surveyed and interviewed in order to obtain information on setup costs, operational costs, yields, and income. To quantify production and resource utilization, data were gathered on the parallel growth of a few selected crops in both hydroponic and conventional systems. Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

Analyzing initial and operational costs:

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of Initial Setup Costs

Category	Hydroponic Farms (Rs.)	Traditional Farms (Rs.)
Infrastructure	1,00,000	80,000
Equipment	50,000	40,000
Lighting & Climate Control	30,000	20,000
Total Initial Cost	1,80,000	1,40,000

Interpretation: Hydroponic and conventional farming methods' initial setup costs are compared in this table. Higher initial costs are associated with hydroponic farms mainly because of the infrastructure and specific equipment needed, like climate control systems and lighting.

Table 2: Operational Costs per Year

Category	Hydroponic Farms (Rs.)	Traditional Farms (Rs.)
Labor	20,000	25,000
Water & Nutrients	10,000	15,000
Energy	15,000	12,000
Total Operational Cost	45,000	52,000
Yield (kg/m²)	100	80
Revenue (Rs.)	1,50,000	1,20,000
Profit (Rs.)	1,05,000	68,000

Interpretation: For both farming techniques, this table shows the annual operating costs, yields, revenue, and profit. Hydroponic farms provide higher yields and have lower operating expenses, especially in manpower and water use, despite having higher beginning costs.

Table 3: Yield Comparison of Selected Crops

Crop	Hydroponic Yield (kg/m²)	Traditional Yield (kg/m²)
Lettuce	30	20
Tomatoes	15	10
Herbs	50	40

Interpretation: The yields of a few chosen crops are compared between hydroponic and conventional farming techniques in this table. Higher yields are consistently shown in hydroponic systems for a variety of crop kinds, suggesting that their productivity may be further enhanced.

Table 4: Yield and Profit Comparison

Crop	Hydroponic Yield (kg/m²)	Traditional Yield (kg/m²)	Hydroponic Profit (Rs.)	Traditional Profit (Rs.)
Lettuce	30	20	45,000	24,000
Tomatoes	15	10	30,000	20,000
Herbs	50	40	30,000	24,000
Total			1,05,000	68,000

Interpretation: The yields and profitability of a few chosen crops are compared between hydroponic and conventional farming techniques in this table. Compared to conventional farming, hydroponic farming continuously produces superior yields and profits for a variety of crop kinds, demonstrating its potential for profitability.

Table 5: SWOT Analysis of Hydroponic Farming

Strengths	Weaknesses	Opportunities	Threats
Efficient water and nutrient use	High initial investment	Growing Urban Markets	High Initial Investment
Higher yield potential	Dependence on technology	Technological Advancements	Energy Dependence
Environmental sustainability	Energy-intensive	Environmental Sustainability	Market Competition
Opportunities	Threats	Year-round Production	Regulatory Challenges
Growing urban market	Regulatory challenges	Customization and Crop Diversity	Perception and Consumer Awareness
Technological advancements	Market competition		

Interpretation: The strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats related to hydroponic farming are shown in this SWOT analysis. It recognizes obstacles like high startup costs and regulatory hurdles while also highlighting chances for technical breakthroughs and industry expansion.

To analyze whether there is a significant difference between the mean yield of lettuce between hydroponic and traditional farming methods, we have considered 5 farmers growing lettuce in hydroponic and traditional methods each.

H0: There is no difference in the mean yields of lettuce between hydroponic and traditional farming methods.

H1: There is a difference in the mean yields of lettuce between hydroponic and traditional farming methods.

Table 6: T-test results

Test Statistic (t)	3.136
Degrees of Freedom (df)	8
p-value	0.0147
95% Confidence Interval for Difference in Means	(3.351, 15.449)

Interpretation: We find that there is a statistically significant difference between the mean yields of lettuce grown using hydroponic and conventional farming techniques, rejecting the null hypothesis based on this two-sample t-test. Based on sample data, hydroponic farming offers greater average lettuce yields than traditional farming.

5. CONCLUSION

This thorough comparison of hydroponic farming and conventional farming techniques offers insightful information on a number of issues that are essential for agricultural decision-making. Based on the comparison analysis and findings across several parameters, the following is a summarized conclusion:

A comparison investigation reveals that the initial expenditure for hydroponic farms is Rs.180,000, whereas that of traditional farms is Rs.140,000. This higher initial investment is mostly attributable to the need for specialized equipment and infrastructure in hydroponic farming. Hydroponic farms have lower yearly operating costs (Rs.45,000) than traditional farms (Rs.52,000), despite their higher startup expenditures. This can be ascribed to labour, energy, and water usage reductions. When compared to conventional methods, hydroponic systems routinely produce more of a few selected crops, such as tomatoes (average 15 kg/m2) and lettuce (average 30 kg/m2), indicating its potential for higher production. Hydroponic farming is economically viable since it not only produces higher yields but also more profit, with an average profit of Rs.105,000 compared to Rs.68,000 from traditional farming, according to yield and profit study. Hydroponic farming is a sustainable agricultural method that appeals to urban consumers with its year-round production possibilities, higher yields, and effective use of resources. High startup costs, reliance on technology, and possible energy expenses are obstacles that may limit scalability and profitability. Opportunities for market expansion and innovation are presented by the growing urban demand for locally farmed produce, technical advancements in agricultural methods, and crop variety modification. Widespread adoption could be threatened by governmental obstacles, market competition from traditional agricultural techniques, and consumer views about naturalness and quality. A statistically significant difference in the average yields of lettuce between hydroponic and traditional farming methods is evident from the two-sample t-test ($t = 3.136$, $p = 0.0147$). The average yield from hydroponic farming is 31.2 kg/m², while the average yield from traditional methods is 21.8 kg/m².

According to the study's findings, hydroponic farming has a number of significant advantages over conventional techniques in terms of productivity, sustainability, and profitability. Through strategic investment and advocacy, stakeholders may harness these capabilities and overcome obstacles to promote the expansion and adoption of hydroponic farming. This will help to fulfill the changing needs of global food systems and promote sustainable agriculture practices.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS

Adopting hydroponic farming techniques should be taken into account in order to benefit from increased yields, less operating expenses, and possible economic advantages. Sustained allocation of resources towards technology and innovation has the potential to enhance efficacy and reduce upfront expenditures. Involvement of policymakers and stakeholders in addressing regulatory issues and promoting the advantages of hydroponic farming is need of the hour.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)

www.ijprems.com
editor@ijprems.com

Vol. 04, Issue 06, June 2024, pp: 1806-1811

e-ISSN : 2583-1062

Impact Factor: 5.725

7. REFERENCES

[1] AlShrouf, A. (2017). Hydroponics, aeroponic and aquaponic as compared with conventional farming. American Scientific Research Journal for Engineering, Technology, and Sciences (ASRJETS), 27(1), 247-255.

[2] Barbosa, G. L., Gadelha, F. D. A., Kublik, N., Proctor, A., Reichelm, L., Weissinger, E., ... & Halden, R. U. (2015). Comparison of land, water, and energy requirements of lettuce grown using hydroponic vs. conventional agricultural methods. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 12(6), 6879-6891.

[3] Jones, J. B. (2016). Hydroponics: A Practical Guide for the Soilless Grower. CRC Press.

[4] Jensen, M. H. (2010). Hydroponic culture for the tropics: Opportunities and challenges. Acta Horticulturae, 742, 223-229.

[5] Kalantari, F., Tahir, O. M., Joni, R. A., & Fatemi, E. (2017). Opportunities and challenges in sustainability of vertical farming: A review. Journal of Landscape Ecology, 11(1), 35-60.

[6] Kozai, T., Niu, G., & Takagaki, M. (Eds.). (2015). Plant Factory: An Indoor Vertical Farming System for Efficient Quality Food Production. Academic Press.

[7] Resh, H. M. (2013). Hydroponic Food Production: A Definitive Guidebook for the Advanced Home Gardener and the Commercial Hydroponic Grower. CRC Press.

[8] Savvas, D., & Gruda, N. (2018). Application of soilless culture technologies in the modern greenhouse industry—A review. European Journal of Horticultural Science, 83(5), 280-293.

[9] Singh, S., & Dunn, B. (2020). Comparative analysis of the economics of different types of hydroponic systems. Agricultural Systems, 180, 102791.

[10] Tiwari, R., Kalra, K., Tiwari, R., & Shastri, S. (2018). Analysis of barriers in implementation of hydroponics agriculture system in India: An interpretive structural modeling approach. Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal, 20(1), 43-50.

[11] Van Straten, G., Dankers, R., & Klip, G. (2019). Achieving sustainability of urban agriculture. Agronomy, 9(7), 368.

[12] Vermeulen, S. J., Campbell, B. M., & Ingram, J. S. I. (2013). Climate change and food systems. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 37, 195-222.