ADVANCEMENTS IN ANTIPERSPIRANT TECHNOLOGY: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

Bidkar Rohit B[1], Gund shrikant. R[2], Tandale P. S[3], Garje S. Y[4], Sayyed G. A[5]

[1,2,3,4,5]Shri amolak jain vidya prasarak mandal college of pharmaceutical science and research center, kada, India.

ABSTRACT

Antiperspirants are products formulated to reduce sweat or perspiration on the skin, available in various forms such as creams, powders, sprays, towels, and roll-ons. Most non-prescription options contain low concentrations of aluminium salts like aluminium chloride, aluminium chlorohydrate, and aluminium zirconium. Aluminium zirconium is often preferred for its skin tolerance and lower likelihood of causing irritation or aggravating razor burn. For individuals with hyperhidrosis, prescription antiperspirants with higher concentrations of aluminium chloride or aluminium hexahydrate may be more effective. Recently, topical anticholinergic agents have been introduced to reduce sweat gland activity, while oral anticholinergic drugs may produce more side effects than topical options. Botulinum toxin and surgical interventions are considered for severe cases of hyperhidrosis.

Excessive perspiration and body odors across various cultures can lead to negative perceptions of individuals and are often linked to inadequate hygiene practices. Personal care products have been developed to address these issues effectively. This paper aims to examine the primary active ingredients found in commercially available deodorants and antiperspirants, while also exploring emerging strategies and future directions for optimizing these products to combat malodor. PubMed and ScienceDirect databases were utilized to survey studies detailing the use of deodorants and antiperspirants, the compounds employed in their formulations, their mechanisms of action, associated controversies, as well as novel trends and approaches within the field. Despite ongoing advancements, traditional actives like triclosan and aluminum salts remain prevalent in deodorants due to their bactericidal and antiperspirant properties, despite lingering safety concerns surrounding their prolonged use. There's a growing global interest in sustainable lifestyles and natural products, prompting research into alternative sources like plant-derived ingredients and essential oils. Recent studies have shifted focus towards understanding armpit biochemistry, microbiota control, and exploring biotechnological solutions to disrupt the process of sweat decomposition.

Keywords: Antiperspirant, Perspiration, Sweat, Aluminum salts, Clinical efficacy, Skin irritation, Deodorant, Hyperhidrosis, Dermatological safety, Fragrance

1. INTRODUCTION

The mechanism of action varies depending on the active ingredients in the antiperspirant. Those containing metallic salts react with mucopolysaccharides on the skin and within sweat ducts, damaging surface epithelia and physically blocking sweat glands. Antiperspirants with anticholinergic substances target cholinergic muscarinic receptors on sweat glands, reducing their activity and sweat production. Traditional actives like triclosan and aluminum salts remain prevalent in deodorants due to their bactericidal and antiperspirant properties, despite lingering safety concerns surrounding their prolonged use. There's a growing global interest in sustainable lifestyles and natural products, prompting research into alternative sources like plant-derived ingredients and essential oils. Recent studies have shifted focus towards understanding armpit biochemistry, microbiota control, and exploring biotechnological solutions to disrupt the process of sweat decomposition. Promising avenues include formulations containing probiotics to maintain a healthy axillary microbiota balance. Antitranspirants and deodorants continue to attract attention, with aluminum chlorohydrate and aluminum zirconium tetrachlorohydrate glycine complex being the most commonly utilized active ingredients in commercial antitranspirants. Other alternatives like aluminum chloride and propantheline bromide are also noteworthy, albeit less frequently employed. Deodorant formulations primarily rely on perfumes or bactericidal/bacteriostatic agents such as triclosan, alongside odor-binding substances like zinc ricinoleate and pH-modifying agents like triethyl citrate. As in the broader cosmetics industry, both safety and efficacy remain critical considerations in the experimental and clinical evaluation of these products, with efficacy assessment often based on olfactory tests and monitoring associated effects such as changes in cutaneous microflora.

2. KEY POINTS ABOUT SWEAT:

1. Body's Cooling Mechanism: Sweat helps regulate body temperature by promoting heat loss through evaporation.

2. Composition of Sweat: Sweat consists mostly of water, with added salt, protein, urea, and ammonia, depending on the gland type.

3. odour Formation: Pure sweat is odourless, but when bacteria on the skin mix with apocrine secretions, foul-smelling odour compounds can form.

4. Triggers for Sweat: Sweat production can be triggered by factors like exercise, emotional stress, spicy foods, alcohol consumption, and certain medications.

5. Diet and Body odour: Foods high in sulphur, such as garlic and onions, can contribute to body odour, as can cruciferous vegetables.

6. Influence of Diet on Body Odour r: Studies suggest that a vegetarian diet may result in more attractive body Odour compared to a diet high in red meat.

7. Gender and Sweat Production: Sweat production is more related to body size than gender, although hormonal changes can affect sweat production.

8. Body Odour with Age: Body Odour can change with age, with some individuals experiencing different Odour as they get older.

9. Difference between Deodorant and Antiperspirant: Deodorants mask Odour, while antiperspirants block sweat glands from producing sweat.

10. Yellow Stains on Clothing: Chemical reactions between sweat, antiperspirants, and clothing can lead to yellow stains, particularly on white fabrics.

11. Genetic Influence on Body r: the presence of the ABCC11 gene affects whether individuals produce underarm odour.

The primary objectives of antiperspirants are:-

1. Reducing Sweat Production: Antiperspirants aim to decrease the amount of sweat produced by the sweat glands, helping individuals stay dry and comfortable throughout the day.

2. Controlling Body Odour: By reducing sweat production and often containing antimicrobial agents, antiperspirants help control the growth of odour-causing bacteria, thereby minimizing body odour.

3. Providing Long-lasting Protection: Antiperspirants are designed to provide extended protection against sweat and odour, typically lasting for several hours or even days depending on the formulation.

4. Enhancing Personal Hygiene: Antiperspirants contribute to personal hygiene by keeping underarms dry and odour-free, which can boost confidence and comfort in social and professional settings.

5. Improving Comfort: By reducing sweat and odour, antiperspirants enhance overall comfort, especially in warm climates or during physical activities, where sweating is more pronounced.

6. Boosting Self-esteem: Effective antiperspirants can help individuals feel more confident and self-assured by preventing embarrassing sweat stains and unpleasant body odor.

7. Offering Convenience: Antiperspirants come in various forms such as sprays, roll-ons, sticks, and creams, providing users with options for convenient application according to their preferences and lifestyle.

Table: 1 Comparison between herbal and chemical antiperspirants

Sr.No.	Parameters	Herbal Antiperspirants	Chemical Antiperspirants
1	Ingredients	Typically contain natural ingredients such as essential oils, herbal extracts, and plant-based powders like baking soda or cornstarch. These ingredients are often chosen for their antibacterial and odor-neutralizing properties.	Contain active ingredients like aluminum compounds (e.g., aluminum chloride, aluminum zirconium) that work by blocking sweat glands to reduce perspiration. They may also contain other chemicals such as fragrances, preservatives, and propellants.
2	Effectiveness	Generally milder compared to chemical antiperspirants. They may not be as effective for those with heavy sweating or clinical hyperhidrosis.	Often more effective at reducing sweat production due to the presence of aluminum compounds, which directly block sweat glands. They are typically recommended for those who sweat heavily or have clinical hyperhidrosis.
3	Duration of Effect	Generally provide shorter-lasting protection compared to chemical antiperspirants.	Can provide longer-lasting protection, often for 24-48 hours or even longer depending on the formulation.

4	Skin Sensitivity	Tend to be gentler on the skin and may be preferred by those with sensitive skin or allergies to certain chemicals.	Some people may experience skin irritation or allergic reactions, especially with prolonged use or if they have sensitive skin.
5	Odour Control	Often contain natural ingredients with antimicrobial properties that help control odor by inhibiting bacterial growth.	Typically contain added fragrances to mask body odor in addition to reducing sweat production.
6	Environmental Impact	Generally considered more environmentally friendly as they often contain biodegradable ingredients and fewer synthetic chemicals.	May contain synthetic chemicals and aluminum compounds, which can have environmental impacts during manufacturing and disposal.
7	Personal Preference	Preferred by those who prioritize using natural products and may prefer milder formulations.	Preferred by individuals seeking maximum sweat protection and are less concerned about using synthetic ingredients.

Common side effects include:

1. Skin Irritation: Some individuals may experience skin irritation, redness, itching, or burning sensation, particularly if they have sensitive skin or are allergic to certain ingredients in the antiperspirant.

2. Allergic Reactions: Allergic reactions can occur in response to specific ingredients in antiperspirants, such as fragrances or preservatives. Symptoms may include rash, swelling, or hives.

3. staining of Clothes: Certain antiperspirants containing aluminum compounds may cause yellow stains on clothing over time, especially when sweat mixes with the chemicals in the antiperspirant.

4. Aluminum Absorption: There is some concern that aluminum compounds in antiperspirants may be absorbed through the skin and accumulate in the body, potentially contributing to health issues such as breast cancer or Alzheimer's disease.

5. Skin Discoloration: Prolonged use of antiperspirants containing aluminum compounds may lead to skin discoloration in the underarm area, although this is relatively rare.

6. Residue Build-up: Some antiperspirants may leave a residue on the skin, especially if applied excessively or not fully absorbed. This residue can feel sticky or greasy and may contribute to discomfort or clothing staining.

Some recent areas of interest in antiperspirant research include:-

1. Aluminium Absorption and Health Effects: Studies continue to investigate whether aluminum compounds in antiperspirants are absorbed through the skin and if so, whether they pose any health risks, such as breast cancer or Alzheimer's disease. However, the current scientific consensus is that there is insufficient evidence to support these claims.

2. Skin Irritation and Allergic Reactions: Research explores the prevalence and mechanisms of skin irritation and allergic reactions associated with antiperspirant use. This includes identifying specific ingredients that may trigger adverse reactions and developing hypoallergenic formulations to minimize the risk of sensitivity.

3. Microbiome Impact: Recent studies examine how antiperspirants may affect the skin microbiome—the community of bacteria and other microorganisms that inhabit the skin. Understanding these effects can provide insights into how antiperspirants influence skin health and microbial balance.

4. Alternative Ingredients and Formulations: There is ongoing interest in developing alternative antiperspirant formulations using natural or alternative ingredients that are perceived as safer or more environmentally friendly. Research explores the efficacy and safety of these alternatives compared to traditional antiperspirants.

Types of antiperspirants:

1. Roll-on Antiperspirants: These antiperspirants come in liquid form contained within a bottle with a rolling ball applicator. Users apply them by rolling the ball over the skin, allowing the liquid to spread evenly.

2. Stick Antiperspirants: Stick antiperspirants are solid formulations housed in a twist-up or push-up container. Users apply them directly onto the skin by gliding the stick over the desired areas.

3. Spray Antiperspirants: Spray antiperspirants are applied by spraying a fine mist onto the skin. They typically come in aerosol cans or pump bottles. Users hold the container a few inches away from the skin and spray evenly.

4. Gel Antiperspirants: Gel antiperspirants have a clear, gel-like consistency. They are applied by squeezing a small amount onto the fingertips and then spreading it evenly over the skin.

5. Cream Antiperspirants: Cream antiperspirants have a thicker consistency compared to gels. They are applied by scooping a small amount of cream out of the container and then rubbing it onto the skin until it is absorbed.

6. Clinical Strength Antiperspirants: These antiperspirants contain higher concentrations of active ingredients, such as aluminum chloride, compared to regular antiperspirants. They are often recommended for individuals with excessive sweating or hyperhidrosis.

7. Natural Antiperspirants: Natural antiperspirants are formulated with ingredients derived from nature, such as plant extracts and essential oils. They often do not contain aluminum compounds and may be preferred by individuals seeking more natural alternatives.

8. Sensitive Skin Antiperspirants: These antiperspirants are specifically formulated for individuals with sensitive skin. They may be fragrance-free, hypoallergenic, and contain soothing ingredients to minimize irritation.

Tests commonly conducted to evaluate antiperspirants:-

1. Sweat Reduction Test: This test measures the antiperspirant's ability to reduce sweat production. Participants apply the antiperspirant to one underarm while leaving the other untreated, then engage in physical activity or exposure to heat to induce sweating. The sweat production in the treated and untreated areas is compared to assess the efficacy of the antiperspirant.

2. Odour Control Test: This test evaluates the antiperspirant's ability to neutralize or mask body odour. Participants apply the antiperspirant and engage in activities that cause sweating, then assess the intensity of odour in treated and untreated areas using sensory evaluation or odour detection devices.

3. Skin Irritation Test: This test assesses the potential for the antiperspirant to cause skin irritation or sensitization. Participants with sensitive skin or a history of allergies apply the antiperspirant to a small area of skin and undergo a patch test. Skin reactions such as redness, itching, or inflammation are monitored to determine the product's safety for use.

4. Staining Test: This test examines whether the antiperspirant leaves stains or residues on clothing. Participants apply the antiperspirant and wear clothing made of different materials, such as cotton, polyester, and silk, for a specified period. Afterward, the clothing is inspected for any discoloration or residue left by the antiperspirant.

5. Longevity Test: This test evaluates how long the antiperspirant's effects last before requiring reapplication. Participants apply the antiperspirant and undergo regular activities throughout the day, with sweat production and odour intensity monitored at specified intervals. The duration of effectiveness is recorded to determine the product's longevity.

6. Compatibility Test: This test assesses the compatibility of the antiperspirant with different skin types. Participants with varying skin types, such as dry, oily, sensitive, or normal, apply the antiperspirant and report any adverse reactions or discomfort experienced during and after use.

7. Fragrance Test: This test evaluates the scent of the antiperspirant and its potential appeal to consumers. Participants assess the fragrance's intensity, longevity, and overall pleasantness through sensory evaluation or surveys. Preferences for different fragrance options may also be explored to determine market preferences.

8. Clinical Trials: In addition to in-house testing, many antiperspirants undergo clinical trials involving larger groups of participants under controlled conditions. These trials assess the product's efficacy, safety, and consumer satisfaction using standardized protocols and measurements.

3. CONCLUSION

Antiperspirants play a crucial role in managing perspiration and enhancing personal hygiene. The utilization of aluminium salts has demonstrated significant efficacy in reducing sweat production, thereby boosting individuals' confidence and comfort. Despite concerns regarding skin irritation, advancements in formulation and dermatological safety measures continue to improve user experience.

As research progresses, the development of novel antiperspirant technologies offers promising solutions for addressing hyperhidrosis and further enhancing product effectiveness. Overall, antiperspirants remain a cornerstone in daily grooming routines, providing individuals with reliable protection and peace of mind.

4. REFERENCES

a. Tixier C, Singer HP, Canonica S, Muller SR. Phototransformation of triclosan in surface waters: a relevant elimination process for this widely used biocide - laboratory studies, field measurements, and modeling. Environ Sci Technol 2002; 36: 3482-3489.

[2] Liu X, Liang C, Liu X, et al. Occurrence and human health risk assessment of pharmaceutical and personal care products in real agricultural systems with long term reclaimed wastewater irrigation in Beijing, China. Ecotox Environ Safe 2020; 190: 110022.

[3] Lee JW, Cong TT. Towards renewable flavors, fragrances and beyond. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2020; 61: 168-180.

[4] Darbre PD. Aluminium and the human breast. Morphologie 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.morpho.2016.02.001

[5] Exley C. The toxicity of aluminium in humans. Morphologie 2016; 100(329): 51-55.

[6] Gorgogietas VA, Tsialtas I, Sotiriou N, et al. Potential interference of aluminum chlorohydrate with estrogen receptor signaling in breast cancer cells. J Mol Biochem 2018; 7(1): 1-13.

[7] Allam MF. Breast cancer and deodorants/antiperspirants: A systematic review. Cent Eur J Public Health 2016; 24(3): 245-247.

[8] Willhite C, Karyakina N, Yokel R, et al. Systematic review of potential health risks posed by pharmaceutical, occupational and consumer exposures to metallic and nanoscale aluminum, aluminum oxides, aluminum hydroxide and its soluble salts. Crit Rev Toxicol 2014; 44(sup4): 1-80. https://doi.org/10.3109/10408444.2014.934439

[9] Namer M, Luporsi E, Gligorov J, Lokiek F, Spielman M. L'utilisation de déodorants/antitranspirants ne constitue pas un risque de cancer du sein: The use of deodorants/antiperspirants does not constitute a risk factor for breast cancer. Bull Cancer 2008; 95(9): 871-880.

[10] Kanlayavattanakul M, Lourith N. Body malodours and their topical treatment agents. Int J Cosm Sci 2011; 33: 298-311.

[11] Labows JN, Reilly JT, Leyden JJ. Axillary Odor Determination, Formation, and Control. In: Laden K, ed. Antiperspirants and Deodorants, (2nd[a] edn). New York: Marcel Dekker, 1999: 59-82.

[12] Natsch A, Derrer S, Fleschsmann F, Schmid J. A broad diversity of volatile carboxylic acids, released by a bacterial aminoacylase from axilla secretions, as candidate molecules for the determination of human-body odor type. Chem Biodivers 2006; 3(1): 1-20.

[13] Li M, Budding AE, Lugt-Degen M, et al. The influence of gender, age and race/ethnicity on the composition of the human axillary microbiome. Int J Cosm Sci 2019. https://doi.org/10.1111/ics.12549

[14] Friedrich E, Barzantny H, Brune I, Tauch A. Daily battle against body odor: towards the activity of the axillary microbiota. Trends Microbiol 2015; 21(6): 305-312.

[15] Minhas GS, Bawdon D, Herman R, et al. Structural basis of malodour precursor transport in the human axilla. eLife 2018; 7: e34995. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.3499

[16] Taylor D, Daulby A, Grimshaw S, James G, Mercer J. Characterization of the microflora of the human axilla. Int J Cosmet Sci 2003; 25: 137-145.

[17] Leyden JJ, McGinley KJ, Holze E, Labows JN, Klingman AM. The microbiology of the human axilla and its relationship to axillary odor. J Invest Dermatol 1981; 77: 413-416.

[18] Benohanian A. Antiperspirants and deodorants. Clin Dermatol 2001; 19(4): 398-405.

[19] Piérard GE, Elsner P, Marks R, et al. EEMCO guidance for the efficacy assessment of antiperspirants and deodorants. Skin Pramacol Appl Skin Physiol 2003; 16: 324-342.

[20] Abd-elhakim YM, Mohamed AT, Ali HA. Impact of subchronic exposure to triclosan and/or fluoride on estrogenic activity in immature female rats: The expression pattern of cabidin-D9k and estrogen receptor alfa genes. J Biochem Mol Toxicol 2018. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbt.2202

[21] allewaert C, Hutapea P, Van de Wiele T, Boon N. Deodorants and antiperspirants affect the axillary bacterial community. Arch Dermatol Res. 2014; 306(8): 701–10.

[22] Amoore JE, Venstrom D, Davis AR. Measurement of specific anosmia. Percept Mot Skills. 1968; 26(1): 143–64.

[23] Jha SK. Characterization of human body odor and identification of aldehydes using chemical sensor. Rev Anal Chem. 2017; 36(2): 1–16.

[24] Haze S, Gozu Y, Nakamura S, Kohno Y, Sawano K, Ohta H, et al. 2-Nonenal newly found in human body odor tends to increase with aging. J Invest

[25] Daniel B, Cox DS, Ashford D, James AG, Thomas GH. Identification of axillary Staphylococcus sp. involved in the production of the malodorous thioalcohol 3-methyl-3-sufanylhexan-1-ol. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2015; 362(16): 1–10.

[26] Starkenmann C, Niclass Y, Troccaz M, Clark AJ. Identification of the precursor of (S)-3-Methyl-3-sulfanylhexan-1-ol, the Sulfury malodour of human axilla sweat. Chem Biodivers. 2005; 2(6): 705–16.

[27] Natsch A, Schmid J, Flachsmann F. Identification of odoriferous Sulfanylalkanols in human axilla secretions and their formation through cleavage of cysteine precursors by a C-S lyase isolated from axilla bacteria. Chem Biodivers. 2004; 1(7): 1058–72.

[28] Noe F, Polster J, Geithe C, Kotthoff M, Schieberle P, Krautwurst D. OR2M3: a highly specific and narrowly tuned human odorant receptor for the sensitive detection of onion key food odorant 3-Mercapto-2-methylpentan-1-ol. Chem Senses. 2017; 42(3): 195–210.

[29] Stewart JCM. Tomatoes cause under-arm odour. Med Hypotheses. 2014; 82(5): 518–21.

[30] Harker M, Carvell A-M, Marti VPJ, Riazanskaia S, Kelso H, Taylor D, et al. Functional characterisation of a SNP in the ABCC11 allele—effects on axillary skin metabolism,

[31] Martini MC. Déodorants et antitranspirants. Ann Dermatol Venereol. 2020; 147(5): 387–95.

[32] Cox AR. Efficacy of the antimicrobial agent triclosan in topical. J Soc Cosmet Chem. 1987; 38: 223–31.

[33] Lamb JH. Sodium bicarbonate: an excellent deodorant. J Invest Dermatol. 1946; 7(3): 131–3.

[34] Kim J-H, Kim T, Yoon H, Jo A, Lee D, Kim P, et al. Health risk assessment of dermal and inhalation exposure to deodorants in Korea. Sci Total Environ. 2018; 625: 1369–79.

[35] Lukacs A, Korting HC, Braun-Falco O, Stanzl K. Efficacy of a deodorant and its components: triethylcitrate and perfume. J Soc Cosmet Chem. 1991; 42: 159–66.

[36] Lukacs A, Korting HC, Lemke O, Ruckdeschel G, Ehret W, Braun-Falco O. The influence of the pH-value on the growth of Brevibacterium epidermidis in continuous culture. Acta Derm Venereol. 1995; 75(4): 280–2.

[37] Stenzaly-Achtert S, Schölermann A, Schreiber J, Diec KH, Rippke F, Bielfeldt S. Axillary pH and influence of deodorants. Skin Res Technol. 2000; 6: 87–91.

[38] Ermenlieva N, Georgieva E, Milev M. Antibacterial and antifungal activity of antiperspirant

[39] Vagionas K, Graikou K, Ngassapa O, Runyoro D, Chinou I. Composition and antimicrobial activity of the essential oils of three Satureja species growing in Tanzania. Food Chem. 2007; 103(2): 319–24.

[40] Dumas ER, Michaud AE, Bergeron C, Lafrance JL, Mortillo S, Gafner S. Deodorant effects of a supercritical hops extract: antibacterial activity against Corynebacterium xerosis and Staphylococcus epidermidis and efficacy testing of a hops/zinc ricinoleate stick in humans through the sensory evaluation of axillary deodorancy. J Cosmet Dermatol. 2009; 8: 197–204.

[41] Tenore GC, Ciampaglia R, Arnold NA, Piozzi F, Napolitano F, Rigano D, et al. Antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of the essential oil of Salvia lanigera from Cyprus. Food Chem Toxicol. 2011; 49(1): 238–43.

[42] Ackerman BH, Dello Buono FA. In vitro testing of antibiotics. Pharmacotherapy. 1996; 16(2): 201–21.