

www.ijprems.com editor@ijprems.com

e-ISSN: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE **RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)** (Int Peer Reviewed Journal)

Vol. 05, Issue 03, March 2025, pp : 2075-2079

2583-1062 Impact **Factor:** 7.001

# **INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES VS EVS: A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY**

Dr. Sana Saima<sup>1</sup>, Prajwal Menon<sup>2</sup>, Maurya V<sup>3</sup>, Ravi Bm<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant professor CMS JAIN (deemed-to-be) University, India.

<sup>2,3,4</sup>Student CMS JAIN (deemed-to-be) University, India.

dr.sana\_saima@cms.ac.in, Prajwal\_b2023@cms.ac.in, Maurya\_v2023@cms.ac.in \* Ravi\_bm2023@cms.ac.in

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.58257/IJPREMS39318

## ABSTRACT

The automotive industry is undergoing an enormous paradigm shift, the axis of which is the rapid advances in new technologies. Internal Combustion Engine (ICE)-based vehicles have dominated global transport for decades due to their reliable, cost-effective nature along with a well-established infrastructure. With the advent of increasing environmental concerns, stricter emission regulations, and the gradual depletion of fossil fuel reserves, this situation gave way to alternative solutions-such as Electric Vehicles (EVs), which are quickly gaining traction as an excellent sustainable solution with a better energy efficiency profile and significantly lower emissions than traditional ICE vehicles. This is because of the development of cutting-edge battery technology and energy storage improvements as well as integrated global shifts geared towards cleaner transport options.

Most of the direct advantages attributed to EVs, however, come with transferring them completely from ICE transportation to electric. On top of everything, their most critical challenge is the indirect environmental downsides of electric vehicles in terms of their actual carbon

foot-print, which is to a considerable extent based on the mix of the electricity grid within which they draw energy from indirect sources. In fact, if fossil fuels or primarily coal-based sources generate the electricity supply, the benefits may be considerably ironic among EMVs. Moreover,

in order to create the conditions for large-scale adoption of electric vehicles, it would need, among others, the shelling out of resources into developing infrastructure charging stations, improvements in battery recycling technologies, and enhancement of the power grid capacity. The fact that batteries are expensive to manufacture, the non-availability of raw materials, and supply chain constraints pose challenges to affordability and scalability have further added to the challenge.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

EV technology is not evolving immediately to obsolescence for an ICE. On the contrary, continuous advancement in their technology is being done to lead to better fuel economy and reduced emissions. By harnessing both ICE technology and electrical power, hybrid vehicles constitute a significant stepping-stone towards this such that they allow for improved fuel economy and greenhouse gas emission reductions while still being able to rely on existing refueling infrastructure. Studies on alternative fuels such as hydrogen, biofuels, and synthetic fuels are opening other opportunities for long-term sustainability improvements in ICEs. All these innovations have made the choice of ICE practical, especially considering that EV infrastructure is still very underdeveloped in certain regions.

This research provides a comparative analysis of an ICE to an EV. It gives insight into the efficiency, economic implication, and environmental impact of both technologies. It analyzes the extent to which advances made in battery technology, government policies, and consumer preferences impact the move to electric transport. This study indicates how hybrid vehicles can play a key role as an intermediary between fossil-fuel-powered engines and fully electric transport. Thus, by investigating these core aspects, the study ultimately aims to help inform policymakers, manufacturers, and consumers in their decisions about the future of sustainable mobility.

## 2. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY

- 1. To scrutinize and compare the energy efficiency of ICEs and EVs
- 2. To assess the environmental impacts of both technologies
- 3. To examine long-term financial impacts and costs of ownership
- To look into fuel cell and engine efficiency advancements 4.
- 5. To identify barriers for the uptake of EVs and related infrastructure development
- To ponder over future prospects for the respective propulsion systems in the transport sphere 6.

|                    | INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE          | e-ISSN :  |
|--------------------|---|-----------|
| HIPREMS            | RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT            | 2583-1062 |
| an ma              | AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)                         | Impact    |
| www.ijprems.com    | (Int Peer Reviewed Journal)                   | Factor :  |
| editor@ijprems.com | Vol. 05, Issue 03, March 2025, pp : 2075-2079 | 7.001     |

### 3. LITERATURE REVIEW

The transformation from Internal Combustion Engines to Electric Vehicles is a widely-discussed topic by both researchers and industry experts. Many studies have examined the efficacy, sustainability, and economic implications of both types of technologies. This section summarizes key research findings that highlight the pros and cons of ICEs and EVs in comparison.

#### **Energy Efficiency**

Studies show that ICEs are much less effective in fuel energy conversion to motion in comparison to EVs. According to data from the U.S. Department of Energy (2023), conventional gasoline and diesel engines can have efficiencies anywhere from 20% to 30%, meaning that most of the energy from the fuel is being wasted away in heat over the exhaust. In contrast, latest findings indicate that EVs possess an efficiency exceeding 85%, since they convert electrical energy to mechanical energy with the least amount of loss. Though improvement in ICE efficiency has been achieved with the introduction of turbocharging and direct fuel injection, they are far below the energy conversion rates EVs are currently achieving.

#### **Environmental Impact**

Different environmental impacts are attributed to Internal Combustion Engines and Electric Vehicles, and these range from emissions to resource consumption. Emissions from ICEs include carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), and particulate matter, contaminating the air, as stated by the United Nations Environment Programme (2023). On the contrary, while EVs do not emit any substance from their exhaust, their sustainable impact depends on the manner in which electric power is generated. The National Renewable Energy Laboratory, in 2022, noted that renewable energy-powered EVs could emit up to 70% lesser life-cycle emissions when compared to ICEs. However, there still exist concerns regarding battery life cycle, raw material extraction, and end-of-life disposal, as mentioned by the World Economic Forum (2023).

#### **Economic Considerations**

Price is one of the principal aspects influencing consumer decisions regarding ICEs and EVs. In general, ICEs have a lower purchase price, as their production processes are well-established. However, with an analytic examination of the long-term financial impacts, comparisons show very low maintenance and operational costs for EVs. Since 2010, battery prices have fallen 89%, according to BloombergNEF (2023), making EVs an economically feasible alternative. The presence of government support and tax breaks have added to the affordability of EVs (European Commission, 2023). Nevertheless, potential buyers are still concerned about the cost of battery replacement and the uncertainty of resale value.

#### **Technological Advances**

Continuous developments are being observed in the technology of both ICEs and EVs, with noticeable ameliorations in efficiency and performance apparent in both. The focus of ICE evolution is on improving fuel economy, integrating hybrid technology, and using alternative fuels like biofuels and hydrogen. The developments in EV technologies, according to MIT in 2023, are laying emphasis on innovation in batteries, faster charging solutions, and greater driving ranges. New solid-state batteries represent one of the very promising breakthroughs, which will potentially have higher energy density and shorter charging times. As discovered by MIT in 2023, it may increase the driving range of EVs by 50% and reduce the charging time to less than 15 minutes. In addition, hydrogen fuel cell technology is increasingly favored as a battery-electric alternative for heavy-duty vehicles and long-distance transport, as mentioned in the Journal of Automotive Engineering in 2023.

#### Market trends, dynamics, and acceptance.

Today, the automotive sector globally is seeing a very rapid market transformation toward electrification, motivated by government regulations and modifications in consumer preferences. In developing countries, due to the extensive refilling infrastructure, ICE vehicles still remain the first choice, while EV acceptance is somewhat limited. According to experts at the International Council on Clean Transportation, range anxiety and longer charging times are some of the barriers to mass acceptance of EVs. To redress these issues, both the government and private sector have stepped in to support the development of fast-charging networks, with some disparities between urban and rural development. Innovative solutions, such as introducing

ultra-fast-charging stations and battery swapping systems, are being trialed to enhance the convenience of charging and reduce charging delays in the process, according to the Harvard Environmental Review in 2023. According to the World Economic Forum in 2023, some of these established markets, such as Norway, Germany, and China, have developed very strong policies to promote EV adoption that include subsidies, tax incentives, and charging infrastructure

| 44                 | INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE          | e-ISSN:   |
|--------------------|---|-----------|
| UIPREMS            | <b>RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT</b>     | 2583-1062 |
| an ma              | AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)                         | Impact    |
| www.ijprems.com    | (Int Peer Reviewed Journal)                   | Factor :  |
| editor@ijprems.com | Vol. 05, Issue 03, March 2025, pp : 2075-2079 | 7.001     |

development. The International Energy Agency predicts that in another ten years, EV sales will overtake sales of ICE in almost every major market. Hybrid vehicles are also being considered more and more, serving as a transitional option from ICE to electric power, thereby enhancing efficiency and reducing emissions.

## 4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

An appropriate research methodology is essential for providing adequate comparisons between ICEs and EVs. This section provides an overview of the data collection process, strategy, and analytical methods adopted for the analysis of these two vehicle classes.

#### **Research Design**

This particular research uses a comparative analysis for qualitative and quantitative assessment of efficiency, environmental impact, and economic feasibility. Mixed-method strategies are utilized, incorporating statistical evaluation supported by expert opinion to create a wider viewpoint of assessment.

## 5. DATA COLLECTION METHODS

The data for this research has been collected from several sources, such as:

Industry Reports: Documents from agencies such as the International Energy Agency (IEA), National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), and International Council on Clean Transportation (ICCT).

#### **Analytical Methods**

Descriptive statistics: Used to summarize the trends of fuel efficiency, emissions, and cost.

Regression analysis: Used to analyze the trends of electric vehicle (EV) uptake and fuel economy of internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles.

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA): To examine the environmental impact, associated with both EVs and ICEs.

Scenario-Based Forecasting: By forecasting adoption trends due to technology advancement and policy changes.

CA) Model: Environmental impacts associating both vehicle types in their operating lifetime.

Scenario-Based Forecasting: It predicts the future trends in adoption of ICEs and EVs emphasizing the existing policies, advancement of technology, and consumer preferences.

#### Limitations in Methodology

Even after a thorough analysis, there are some limitation factors about the research methodology:

Existence of a high dependency on secondary data: This research relied only on secondary data and does not have primary data collection using surveys or experiments.

Region Specific: Differing energy grids will invariably relate to differentiating sustainable arguments with respect to EVs, consequently hindering broad generalization.

Market Variability: Forward-shifting economic conditions may have some influence on the conclusions derived herein for costs and benefits.

In this way, it can bring a more data-orientated structure to comprehend the major differences and future opportunities between ICEs and EVs.

### 6. FINDINGS

- 1. Energy Sufficiency- EVs are superior to ICEs in converting energy to movement since ICEs lose large amounts in heat.
- 2. Environmental Considerations- While EVs do not emit any air pollutants, there are ecological repercussions due to the processes used in making their batteries.
- 3. Financial-Largely, EVs incur lower costs after dealing with higher capital costs initially.
- 4. Charging Facilities-Gasoline is popularly consumed at gas stations for gasoline cars while charging infrastructure is being developed for EVs.
- 5. Emphasizing Technological Advances- The development of ICE technologies is compared with the significant advancements in battery technology.

### 7. RESEARCH FINDINGS

- The results of the research put forth several real and credible advantages and drawbacks for ICEs and EVs:
- ICEs retain a significant presence in the market because they are less expensive and because they have a wellestablished infrastructure for fueling.

Page | 2077

• EV sales are on the rise in those countries with great incentives and promotional schemes for renewable energy.

@International Journal Of Progressive Research In Engineering Management And Science

| A4 NA              | INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE          | e-ISSN :  |
|--------------------|---|-----------|
| IIPREMS            | <b>RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT</b>     | 2583-1062 |
|                    | AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)                         | Impact    |
| www.ijprems.com    | (Int Peer Reviewed Journal)                   | Factor :  |
| editor@ijprems.com | Vol. 05, Issue 03, March 2025, pp : 2075-2079 | 7.001     |

- EVs are considered to use energy more efficiently, essentially converting more than 85 percent of the energy input, while an ICE does so at about 20-30 percent.
- Depending on the source of electricity, the lifecycle emissions of EVs may vary, and this tip-the-scale advantage is somewhat less for highly coal-dependent regions.
- Hybrid vehicles provide a bridging option blending fuel efficiency and electric power.
- Battery technology is the key to improving EV's viability, with future outlines for solid-state batteries appearing in this regard.
- Massive infrastructure for EV charging remains a central impediment on the way to their large-scale adoption.
- Hydrogen fuel cells may provide another option, particularly for long-distance transport.
- Vehicle to grid (V2G) technology, which allows EVs to help stabilize the grid, is assumed to advance.
- As the battery and electric powertrain system manufacturing industry progresses, so does the demand for new skills in the labor market.

## 8. LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

- An approach depending on secondary sources of information-as practiced here-will not ever be ideal compared to one with direct measures.
- Regional energy system variations affect emission-related conclusions.
- Some innovative advancements under development may tilt comparative evaluations made in the present study.
- Market changes commonly affect long-term economic evaluation.

#### 9. SCOPE FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

- Advanced energy storage investigation
- Cost-benefit analysis of hydrogen cell technology for transportation
- Assessing the effects of AI on vehicle efficiency
- Impact of autonomous electric vehicles on infrastructure

### **10. CONCLUSION**

The massive upheaval in the automotive industry from ICEs to EVs is transformational. Stringent emission regulations are being adopted in almost all countries, along with multiple incentives to encourage the uptake of EVs. Heavy investment from automotive manufacturers goes into R&D to improve battery efficiency, charging speed, and affordability. But other impediments remain—limited charging infrastructure and a divide between rural and urban EV adoption. The sustainability of EVs in the long run shall depend on overcoming these challenges through policy interventions and technological advancements.

Yet despite the remarkable development of EV technologies, internal combustion engines still remain relevant in multiple sectors—especially heavy-duty transport, aviation, and places with unreliable electric power supply. Hydrogen, biofuels, and synthetic fuels are alternative fuels that can assist with some emissions reduction without shutting down ICEs. Hybrid vehicles are developing as a transitional technology, permitting the fusion of the benefits of ICEs and EVs to improve fuel economy while alleviating such worries as range anxiety and charging time.

As the world transitions to electrification, sustainable battery production and recycling will become fundamental in capping environmental impact. The mining of raw materials such as lithium and cobalt raises ethical and ecological issues, making it worthwhile to consider innovations in battery chemistry and recycling. Solid-state batteries as well as emerging technologies will produce greater energy density, longer life, and shorter charging times, thereby increasing EVs' viability for mass acceptance. A mix of technologies—hybrid technology, alternative fuels, and renewable energy integration—will define the future of transport worldwide in the years to come.

#### **11. REFERENCES**

- [1] International Council on Clean Transportation. (2023). Consumer concerns in electric vehicle adoption: Range anxiety and charging infrastructure.
- [2] Harvard Environmental Review. (2023). Advancements in ultra-fast charging and battery swapping for electric vehicles.
- [3] Massachusetts Institute of Technology. (2023). The future of EV batteries: Solid-state technology and its impact on range and charging speed.

| A4 NA                                  | INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE          | e-ISSN :  |
|--|---|-----------|
| UIPREMS                                | <b>RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT</b>     | 2583-1062 |
| ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)                         | Impact    |
| www.ijprems.com                        | (Int Peer Reviewed Journal)                   | Factor :  |
| editor@ijprems.com                     | Vol. 05, Issue 03, March 2025, pp : 2075-2079 | 7.001     |

- [4] Journal of Automotive Engineering. (2023). Hydrogen fuel cells as an alternative for heavy-duty transportation.
- [5] World Economic Forum. (2023). Global policies driving EV adoption and infrastructure investment.
- [6] International Energy Agency. (2023). Projected EV sales growth and the decline of ICE vehicles.
- [7] United Nations Environment Programme. (2023). The transition to electric mobility: Challenges and opportunities.
- [8] BloombergNEF. (2023). Electric vehicle outlook: Global trends and market projections.
- [9] National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL). (2023). Battery innovations and the future of sustainable transportation.
- [10] Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE). (2023). Comparing internal combustion engine efficiency and hybrid advancements.
- [11] McKinsey & Company. (2023). EV infrastructure expansion and the road ahead for automakers.