

DOES LANGUAGE INFLUENCE SOCIETY, OR VICE VERSA, IS IT TRUE

Dakshrajsinh Tank¹, Prof. G. Anburaj²

¹School of Computer Science and Engineering Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632014, Tamil Nadu, S. India.

²Assistant Professor of English Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632014, Tamil Nadu, S. India.

danielanburaj@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Debates regarding the dynamic interplay between language and society have always been present. This paper seeks to find whether language shapes the behaviours and norms of society or societal influences dictate language development. The study looks into both linguistic determinism and linguistic relativism by focusing on how the structure and use of language determine the identity, social interaction, and cognitive processes that people engage with. The study employed a survey of 100 participants of different linguistic backgrounds to evaluate the mutual influence between language and society.

Consequent to the results, language and society are interdependent. This means that, aside from serving as a reflection of the values held by society, language itself acts in shaping the behaviours of individuals and collectives so as to reinforce cultural ideologies and social hierarchies. However, simultaneously, changes in the societal environment in the form of technological advances and globalization lay bare overt changes in languages as newer terms are brought forth and already existing patterns are modified. This paper addresses the mutual implication of this influence and also its effects on social cohesion, identity formation, and understanding across cultures.

The analysis reveals how the relationship is a two-way street: that is to say, while language can influence and shape thought and social structures, it can do no more than society equipping itself in its own turn to equally influence and shape language over time. Thus the interaction is dynamic: changes in one side of the equation reflect transformations in the other; indeed in this relationship are said to demonstrate this complexity

Keywords: Language and society, Linguistic determinism, Cultural identity, Bidirectional influence Cross-cultural understanding

1. INTRODUCTION

The question of the interrelation of language and society has been a main point of interest in linguistics, sociology, and anthropology. While it is true that language communicates, it is also a potent medium in shaping and reflecting behaviours and life norms through thought patterns in society. Thus, there exists an interesting relationship in that it begs to answer, which is the cause of which: society shapes language or vice versa. This will be important in bringing out insight into human communication and the evolution of culture.

One of the big controversies is related to the opposition between linguistic determinism and linguistic relativism. Linguistic determinism is conventionally attributed to the controversially perceived so-called Sapir-Whorf hypothesis, which states that language structure as such influences directly how a speaker happens to perceive the world. In other words, according to this hypothesis, thought is predetermined by language; hence, different languages create different realities for the speakers. Linguistic relativism, in its turn, assumes that though language reflects thought processes, it does not completely predetermine them. From this point of view, a language is simply a reflection of the societal code and is moulded by cultural influence through evolution.

It plays a great role in the cultural framing of identities, social organizations, and interpersonal relationships. It is the medium through which values, beliefs, and experiences are conveyed. For instance, different cultures represent such abstract ideas as time, space, and social relations differently. This, in its turn, affects the ways in which their members conceive reality. In addition, languages establish social identities and are used to reinforce power relations in that they define who speaks and about what.

Political movements, technological development, and globalization are some of the structural changes in society that greatly influence language. With increased development in societies, new terms are coined while the old ways of speech change with the realistic evolution of life. Globalization, especially, hastens the development of new languages, dialects, and linguistic hybrids as a reflection of the blending cultures and societies across the world.

This paper aims to debate the interaction between the two-the language-society dichotomy-with the aim of establishing which of the two factors between language and society bear a greater influence on the other. The use of questionnaires conducted among a population with diverse linguistic backgrounds will help this research investigate how the interaction between the two elements of language and society goes about influencing the thought, communication, and cultural

behaviours of a particular society. This study shall try to give an insightful understanding of the interactive use of language and society.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Language and society are closely interrelated; the former influences and is influenced by the latter. This paper discusses how it very well informs perception, behaviour, and interaction within a society, as well as how cultural shift, technological change, and globalization have impacted the use of language and the process of its development.

While language develops the cultural identity, strengthens social stratification, and organizes thinking patterns, any change in society is bound to transform and change language by creating new vocabularies, changing grammatical structures, and inventing new ways of communication. This paper, therefore, intends to look into these interactive relations with a view to developing an advanced understanding of how language and society interact with each other to eventually shape human culture and communication.

Research gap

Although the research which links both language and society has been immense, much of the available literature weighs its weight on either how language shapes thought and culture—that is, linguistic determinism—or how changes in society, such as globalization for instance, shape changes in language. It is, however, amazingly lacking in understanding the interaction between the two, particularly in respect of how language shapes behaviour in societies while it at the same time changes with changing social, technological, and cultural environments. Unfortunately, most of the available research has treated these influences separately rather than as part of one continuous and interrelated process. This is further exacerbated by the dearth of empirical studies related to this relationship in contemporary settings where rapid technological changes along with cross-cultural exchanges drive unprecedented evolution in both language and social norms. While most research is done either through historical or static analyses, as such, very few may have revealed how in real time it is changing within a society and vice-versa. The present paper attempts to fill such lacunae in order to examine the continuous and progressive inter-relation between language and society in various contemporary contexts.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

Theories developed to explain the dependence of language on society include linguistic determinism and linguistic relativism. Another hypothesis is the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis, which states that thought is determined by language because language determines how speakers conceptualize reality. Whorf (1956) believed that linguistic structures determine other aspects, such as the way one considers time and space. Critics like Pinker (1994) have opposed this view and given the reverse theory; they claim that thought is autonomous from language, and language just expresses thought processes, not determines them.

Linguistic relativity, on one side, goes further in developing a moderate position that, while contending language does influence perception, it constrains it not strictly. The work of Boroditsky 2001 showed that, if anything, language might direct attention to particular concepts, such as time, without any cognitive limitation. Thus, this perspective creates abilities to understand how language interacts, not only with thought, but also with society.

Sociolinguistic studies also go to the credit of Bourdieu (1991) and Fairclough (2001), who contest language's reinforcement of social stratification and, hence, power dynamics. Bourdieu introduced the concept of linguistic capital; language is the signifier of social class. Fairclough's critical discourse analysis provides ample evidence of how language actually reflects and perpetuates societal inequalities, drawing out the mutual relationship between language and societal precepts.

Crystal, 2003 also commented on the changes in language due to globalization and technology citing the use of the internet to create new words and linguistics. Media globalization according to Hjarvard, 2004 has mixed languages together developing a fusion of communication. Most research on language and society uses them as fixed entities which pays no heed to the constant interaction between the two observed by Blommaert, 2010. That is the gap this research tries to fill in, by investigating the continuous, dynamic relationship between language and society in present times.

4. METHODOLOGY

This is because the research was meant to establish how the language influences the behaviours and values of the society and vice versa. All in all, 100 responses were realized which consisted of 50 web questionnaires and 50 paper work. The questionnaire had 10 quite provocative questions concerning experiences with language in various social settings and about bearings that might be taken in regard to relations and interchanges with culture.

These questions attempted to establish how the respondents relate to the language of media consumption and literature reading. These are an analysis of how social media influences change in the usage of languages and styles of

communication, how cultural identity construction on the basis of cyber interaction takes place, and reading habits changed by the digital platform and its influence on language growth. This questionnaire probes the degree of exposure to such varied linguistics via social media influencing participants in terms of understanding cultural specifics and their communicative efficiency. A survey can be made to depict the trend and correlation in the usage of language, both on social media and in literature. This will give a wide view of how language and society will interact with each other dynamically.

5. RESULT ANALYSIS

The language use survey in terms of societal influence has certain marked trends and changes the way individuals deal with language in everyday life. Various dimensions of linguistic behaviour are indicated through the responses that are influenced by cultural, technological, and social factors.

Finding New Words and Phrases

The most prominent finding is that most of the respondents learn new words or expressions primarily through social media or the internet. This fact represents, if anything, how language continues to evolve quickly, fuelled by the power of digital media and conditioned by informal language and slang.

Books, articles, and news sources remain the primary sources for reading, which implies that traditional media sources continue to be important channels for vocabulary extension.

Recent Changes in Language Use

Increased use of informal language or slang within the communities reflects the adoption of more casual modes of communication. Other changes mentioned included recourse to other cultures' terms and impact of technology, particularly on-line communication.

This reveals a blend of linguistic influence. Such blending is great at creating the volume of linguistic use in any local setting. The challenge it brings, however, is in terms of clarity and formality.

Language and Tone Variation

When the question focused on interaction with a variety of groups, respondents reported changing their tone between formal and informal language. Such tone flexibility only throws greater light on the social sensitivity that a person considers their audience, showing practical skills on the part of the individual in the very subtle aspects of contextual understanding in communication. In addition, many went on to add that they are careful not to use some slang or slang terms at work, which indicates a positive aspect of how they feel language needs to be appropriate in use.

Societal Changes Affecting Language Use

Social surveys show that movements for gender equality and environmental awareness have substantially impacted the language in use in the community. In addition, cultural diversity in appearance along with social media also significantly impacted how people were relating to one another. This is a trend signifying a societal drift toward an inclusive and sensitive use of language, an aspect characterizing changed sensitivities toward political correctness and inclusivity.

Use of Communication Channels

Responder viewpoint: The respondents felt that the streams of everyday language usage flow significantly from these social media. Respondents are real living examples of the fact that such expressions and vocabularies are modified through social media influences.

Traditional mediums like TV programmes and news articles no longer play the core role in influencing the trends because social media goes directly and is available for consumption, ensuring a quick uptake of trends found in language, which thus becomes an offspring of the age of the digital generation.

The interference of workplace or school language in personal communication was significant, as quite a number responded that their professional settings made them more formal during personal conversations. This again explains the influence of formal settings on habits in usage while working within two such contrasting spheres.

An interesting part of the responses was when respondents would draw a line between the pros and cons of online vs. face-to-face communication. This further analysis shows a clear distinction between the use of language in online and face-to-face communication. Most participants shared that they were more likely to utilize abbreviations, emoticons, and slang in the online environment and less likely to do so in actual conversations. Thus, this pliability is a demonstration of how medium makes a difference in style; one switches to a particular medium according to the context of interaction.

Discussion about Gender, Race, and Culture

There were powerful remarks regarding the change of discussion on gender, race, and culture. The respondents mentioned that people are more aware and sensitive about language use, with a marked increase on discussions about

being inclusive and neutral language. Such changes towards a more mindful communication practice reflected a broader social movement toward social justice and equality.

Influences on Social and Political Language

Social or political issues greatly influenced language choices through discussions with peers and media sources, according to respondents. This reflects how social context and the media play a central role in public discourse as individuals fashion themselves through complex issues of society.

Exposure through Language to Cultural Perspective

The survey revealed that exposure to new languages generally raises the awareness of respondents in terms of understanding cultural differences with everyone becoming friendly and appreciative of linguistic diversity. This awareness underlines the critical relationship between language and cultural identity since people become more cognizant of how language shapes their perspectives.

Respondents explained that globalization, social movements, and changing technology were the factors that significantly triggered linguistic change in their community. In a nutshell, these represent an active linguistic landscape in which the dynamics of external systems affect the usage and attitudes of the local languages.

Social Behaviours and Language Use

The use of non-exclusive or neutral terms began to make its presence felt among the social behaviours of the community. Higher usage of slang and informal words is equally a reflection of the wider social trends, which look toward even more casual styles of communication. However, some respondents claimed that their language style has not undergone much change, implying resistance or slow adaption to these changes.

6. RESULT DISCUSSION

This survey into the use of language yields complex patterns in the way linguistic behaviour changes with shifting social, cultural, and technological circumstances. It is: "Perhaps the most pervasive observation that has emerged so far refers to the increasing impact that digital media-especially social media and the internet-are having on shaping the trends in present-day language ". Most of them reported that they encounter new words or phrases on these sites, which is indicative of how rapid information exchanges and casual conversations online are responsible for changes in language over time. This simply shows the effect of digital transformation upon communicative styles, even on the lexicon, especially slang and informal speech on everyday conversations.

While digital dominance is at its helm, traditional media like books, news articles, and academic journals remain more significant in formal reading and vocabulary development. That suggests that even as digital platforms are transforming day-to-day conversational language, structured forms of media still play an important role in maintaining the depth and richness in more formal applications of the language.

Informality and Cultural Blending in Language

Some of the major shifts the usage has gone through is the incorporation of informal language and slang. This was a manifestation of the culture that was shifting to casual speech in all domains of social contact, particularly in personal life and community levels. Blending languages across cultures, such as the assimilation of foreign words or fixed phrases, further enriches the local practice of languages. This has been a result of cultural blending, mainly because globalization and media exposure have created an avenue for the birth of hybrid linguistic forms that present one special challenge, namely, expressive creativity combined with clarity and formality.

While this linguistic fluidity is realized as positive in cross-cultural understanding, it does bring some misgivings concerning possible loss of formality and precision. For context, the most of them mentioned said that they do recognize the reason for variability depending on situations; for example, slang or informal speech is often avoided at work just to show that it's conscientious about appropriateness in settings.

Tone Shifting and Contextual Adaptation

One central insight to be drawn from this field is the ability of the respondents to switch between formal and informal tones with regard to the group they are interacting with. It should also be indicative of heightened social sensitivity by which one adapts the language to suit the context and the audience. Such adaptive behaviour indicates a pragmatic kind of skill in handling complex social environments. Many of them said that at work, they avoid slang entirely, thus showing some fairly keen sense of the boundaries between informal and formal discourse.

This tone-shifting ability indicates that the respondents view language not only as a medium of communication but also as a social tool of appropriateness, respect, and professionalism, depending on contexts. This also points to the complexity of modern communication, whereby one has to balance continuously between informal, socially-mediated language and the traditional, formal expectations of workplace and education settings.

Social Movements and the Drive for Inclusive Language

The survey also puts into perspective how changes in society, such as struggles pertaining to gender equality, environmental awareness, and social justice, have biased language use. In this respect, the respondents claimed to be more sensitive to the use of inclusive and neutral language when communicating; this is a reflection of wider social changes in the community toward increased sensitivity and awareness of diversity. What was once neutral has now become suspect with regard to its inclusivity. Individuals intentionally avoid using certain words for fear of sounding biased or exclusionary.

The trend towards the use of mindful and inclusive speech is symptomatic of the growing preoccupation with political correctness in today's society. Referring to changes in word choice usage, both in public discourses and personal communication, appealed to social and political movements. This tendency is an excellent illustration of the development of language, which proceeds together with changes in the very concept of social values and reflects the permanent struggle between linguistic tradition and the demands of contemporary social justice movements.

Impact of Technology on the Mediums of Language

The survey has brought out a clear contrast in the use of language regarding the medium of communication. While abbreviations, emoticons, and informal expressions have been more in use on online sites, face-to-face communication tends to remain formal. These variations in style according to medium illustrate the way technology affects not just what we say but how we say it. Social media, with its rapid and colloquial pace, encourage more linguistic creativity and brevity, while face-to-face interaction hews closer to traditional norms of conversation.

The flexibility of language with regard to various platforms testifies to people's being highly sensitive to the requirements of a communicative situation. Online communication, with its less strict rules, allows for faster and less formalized communication, while in-person interaction presupposes more deliberate speech. This contrasting feature points to modern language users' ability to easily shift between different discursive contexts.

Workplace and Educational Language Influence

One noticeable point that cropped up in the findings of the survey was how professional or educational use of languages significantly influenced personal communication. Working in formal settings or studying in schools and colleges made them take on a formal tone for personal conversation. This shows how deeply rooted the influence of professional and educational contexts is in language use, wherein individuals internalize formal usage of languages and continue with other aspects of their lives.

What is more, the questionnaire revealed some interesting divergences in online and off-line communication behaviour. Their everyday practice of using colloquial speech and jargon was more reserved in professional contexts during face-to-face interaction. It proves that the different ecology of communication produces fundamentally diverse linguistic practices and that technologies are among the decisive factors.

Language and Cultural Perspective Awareness

The answers also showed that various linguistic exposure raised the awareness of cultural differences among subjects and allowed them to develop more tolerant behaviour. Most of the subjects reported that the new language learning process or just the linguistic exposure enhanced their understanding of other cultures, and thus, they developed appreciation towards linguistic diversity. This again underlines the very strong connection between language and cultural identity because language is not just the method of communication; it is part of the cultural values and views.

These changes were primarily attributed to two main influences: globalization and increased interconnectedness of the community. This process would make participants sensitive to the nuances of language in their interaction with people from other cultural backgrounds and their shaping of the view of the world. Linguistic openness is an important aspect of modern communication since individuals are constantly negotiating between themselves and others on their cultural identities.

Scope for Further Research

While the survey is of immense worth in highlighting the trend of language use, enormous scope for further research is still available. Further studies may be directed at the long-term effects of digital media on the evolution of languages and how social media influences language adoption within specific demographics. Investigation into how cultural and technological changes influence choices of language in professional and personal arenas would be even more enlightening. Further investigation could be conducted into the effectiveness of communication training programs in

improving the rise of inclusive language, and how this effectiveness shapes social interaction. The research could also look at how different forms of communication affect the development and cultural exchange of language over time.

7. CONCLUSION

The study showed that language use is dynamic in nature and highly susceptible to social, technological, and cultural changes. Social media and the internet have now become the biggest driving force of modifying speech with the addition of new words and colloquialisms. However, traditional media, which include book and news articles, still play an important role in constructing formal language.

This is further supported by the fact that people are very versatile; they oscillate from formal to informal in relation to the context in which one finds themselves, whether being at work or with friends. Thus, the trend of inclusive language is the consequence of a social movement that has emerged to push for gender equality and diversity, shown by the turn in society towards political correctness and cultural sensitivity.

While slang and colloquial usage have become more pervasive, many people are still cognizant of the requirement for proper language in interaction in a professional sphere, drawing an unmistakable line between personal and professional use. This rise in cultural diversity awareness, combined with globalization, has grown understanding and respect for linguistic diversity. It has influenced not just language usage but also the behaviour of individuals who interact within social circles.

The overall results show that, beyond communication, language reflects values shared in society, cultural influences, and technological development. It is the changes in the linguistic landscape that bring into relief a complex interplay between language, society, and technology to show that, as time and everything around it changes, so will language.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] Androutsopoulos, J. (2014). *Language and the Media: Perspectives on Communication*. Routledge.
- [2] Baron, N. S. (2008). *Always On: Language in an Online and Mobile World*. Oxford University Press.
- [3] Crystal, D. (2001). *Language and the Internet*. Cambridge University Press.
- [4] Danet, B., & Herring, S. C. (Eds.). (2007). *The Multilingual Internet: Language, Culture, and Communication Online*. Oxford University Press.
- [5] Fairclough, N. (1995). *Critical Discourse Analysis: The Critical Study of Language*. Longman.
- [6] Gee, J. P. (2014). *How to Do Discourse Analysis: A Toolkit*. Routledge.
- [7] Gumperz, J. J., & Hymes, D. (Eds.). (1972). *Directions in Sociolinguistics: The Ethnography of Communication*. Wiley.
- [8] Hall, E. T. (1976). *Beyond Culture*. Anchor Books.
- [9] Holmes, J. (2013). *An Introduction to Sociolinguistics* (4th ed.). Routledge.
- [10] Jenkins, H. (2006). *Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide*. NYU Press.
- [11] Labov, W. (1972). *Sociolinguistic Patterns*. University of Pennsylvania Press.
- [12] McLuhan, M. (1964). *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man*. McGraw-Hill.
- [13] Meyerhoff, M. (2011). *Introducing Sociolinguistics* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- [14] Thurlow, C., Lengel, L., & Tomic, A. (2004). *Computer-Mediated Communication: Social Interaction and the Internet*. SAGE Publications.
- [15] Van Dijk, T. A. (1998). *Ideology: A Multidisciplinary Approach*. SAGE Publications.
- [16] Androutsopoulos, J. (2011). "Language change and digital media: A review of conceptions and evidence." *Discourse, Context & Media*, 1(1-2), 2-14.
- [17] Baron, N. S. (2008). "Language and the Internet: Plenary address at the American Association for Applied Linguistics." *Language Learning & Technology*, 12(1), 1-10.
- [18] Biber, D., & Conrad, S. (2009). "Register, Genre, and Style." *Cambridge Textbooks in Linguistics*. Cambridge University Press.
- [19] Blommaert, J., & Rampton, B. (2011). "Language and superdiversity." *Diversities*, 13(2), 1-21.
- [20] Boyd, D. M., & Ellison, N. B. (2007). "Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship." *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 13(1), 210-230.
- [21] Danet, B., & Herring, S. C. (2007). "The Multilingual Internet: Language, Culture, and Communication Online." *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 12(4), 1331-1350.
- [22] Herring, S. C. (2010). "Computer-mediated conversation: Introduction and overview." *Language@Internet*, 7, 1-22.

- [23] Herring, S. C., & Paine, C. (2006). "Gender and social media." *International Encyclopedia of Gender, Media, and Communication*.
- [24] Holmes, J., & Stubbe, M. (2003). "Power and Politeness in the Workplace." *Palgrave Macmillan*.
- [25] Hudson, R. A. (1996). "Language in the Modern World." *Language and Society*, 25(1), 1-10.
- [26] Jansen, B. J., & Zhang, M. (2010). "The effectiveness of social media in advertising." *Journal of Advertising Research*, 50(1), 102-117.
- [27] Ko, H., & Kuo, H. (2009). "The role of social media in consumer behavior." *Journal of Business Research*, 62(4), 374-379.
- [28] Kress, G., & van Leeuwen, T. (1996). *Reading Images: The Grammar of Visual Design*. Routledge.
- [29] Labov, W. (2001). "Principles of Linguistic Change: Social Factors." *Wiley-Blackwell*.
- [30] Lin, C. A. (2009). "A cross-cultural comparison of perceived credibility of online health information." *Health Communication*, 24(7), 575-583.
- [31] Locher, M. A. (2004). "Power and Politeness in Action: Disagreements in Oral Communication." *Journal of Pragmatics*, 36(3), 523-540.
- [32] Markus, M. L., & Steinfield, C. (2003). "Networked Communities: A Key to Future Organizational Success." *Communications of the ACM*, 46(1), 33-34.
- [33] Mautner, G. (2005). "The Role of Language in the Construction of Gender." *Gender and Language*, 1(2), 277-293.
- [34] Pennebaker, J. W. (2011). *The Secret Life of Pronouns: What Our Words Say About Us*. Bloomsbury Press.
- [35] Preece, J., & Shneiderman, B. (2009). *Interaction Design: Beyond Human-Computer Interaction*. Wiley.
- [36] Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. Simon & Schuster.
- [37] Roberts, C., & Sarangi, S. (2005). "Scoping the Role of Language in Organizational Life." *Journal of Business Communication*, 42(1), 6-29.
- [38] Schneider, E. W. (2007). "Postcolonial English: Varieties Around the World." *Cambridge University Press*.
- [39] Sidnell, J., & Stivers, T. (2013). *The Handbook of Conversation Analysis*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- [40] Smith, A. (2010). "Social Media and Young Adults: The 2010 Social Media Report." *Pew Research Center*.
- [41] Tagliamonte, S. A., & Roberts, C. (2005). "Dialectal Variation in English: A Case Study." *Language Variation and Change*, 17(2), 231-253.
- [42] Thurlow, C. (2003). "From 'Teen Speak' to 'Teen Text': The Implications of Changing Communication Practices." *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 22(3), 278-293.
- [43] Trudgill, P. (2000). *Sociolinguistics: An Introduction to Language and Society*. Penguin Books.
- [44] Walther, J. B. (1996). "Computer-Mediated Communication: Impersonal, Interpersonal, and Hyperpersonal Interaction." *Communication Research*, 23(1), 3-43.
- [45] Watzlawick, P., Beavin, J. H., & Jackson, D. D. (1967). *Pragmatics of Human Communication: A Study of Interactional Patterns, Pathologies, and Paradoxes*. W.W. Norton & Company.
- [46] Woolard, K. A. (1998). "Language Ideology as a Field of Inquiry. *Language Ideologies: Practice and Theory*, 3-47.
- [47] Campbell, S. W. (2005). "The Role of Social Media in Building Social Capital." *Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, University of Washington*.
- [48] Lee, J. (2013). "The Impact of Social Media on Language Evolution." *Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of California*.
- [49] Pew Research Center. (2021). "The State of Social Media Use in 2021." Retrieved from *Pew Research*.
- [50] UNESCO. (2019). "Language and the Internet: Trends and Opportunities." Retrieved from *UNESCO*.
- [51] Herring, S. C. (2015). "Language and Social Media: The Importance of Sociolinguistic Research." In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Language and Social Media*
- [52] Alford, K. (2019). "How Social Media Influences Language Use." *Social Media Today*. Retrieved from *Social Media Today*.
- [53] Cohn, M. (2020). "The Evolution of Language in the Digital Age." *Language Magazine*. Retrieved from *Language Magazine*.
- [54] Cook, G. (2003). "Applied Linguistics." *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 1(1), 1-12.