

BRIEF REVIEW ON ADVERSE DRUG REACTIONS

Ranvir Charwande¹, Prof. Poonam Khade², Dr. Megha Salve³

^{1,2,3}Department of Pharmacy, Shivajirao Pawar College of Pharmacy, Pachegaon, Maharashtra, India.

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.58257/IJPREMS37059>

ABSTRACT

Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs) are unwanted or harmful effects of medications, posing significant threats to patient safety and public health. ADRs contribute significantly to healthcare expenses and resource use, and they are a major cause of morbidity and mortality globally. This comprehensive review aims to provide an in-depth examination of the epidemiology, mechanisms, risk factors, and prevention strategies for ADRs. The epidemiology of ADRs is alarming, with 10-20% of hospitalized patients experiencing ADRs, resulting in 100,000-200,000 annual deaths in the US. Type A (dose-dependent) and Type B (idiosyncratic) reactions are most common, with patient factors (age, renal function), drug interactions, and dosing errors contributing to ADRs. Polypharmacy, comorbidities, and genetic predispositions increase ADR risk. ADRs result from complex interactions between drug, patient, and environmental factors. Strategies to minimize ADRs include medication reconciliation, dose adjustment, monitoring (lab tests, vital signs), patient education, alternative therapies, pharmacogenomics, and enhanced pharmacovigilance. Improving medication safety through evidence-based practices, enhancing pharmacovigilance, and developing personalized medicine approaches are crucial for reducing ADRs. Policy makers, healthcare professionals, and researchers must prioritize ADR prevention and management.

Keywords: Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs), Medication Safety, Pharmacovigilance, Drug Toxicity, Side Effects

1. INTRODUCTION

Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs) are unwanted or harmful effects of medications that occur during or after treatment, posing significant threats to patient safety and public health (World Health Organization, 2018). ADRs can range from mild and temporary to severe and life-threatening, impacting patient quality of life, treatment outcomes, and healthcare costs (Lazarou et al., 1998).

Definition

A response to a drug that is harmful or unpleasant and results in significant morbidity or mortality." (WHO, 2018)

Characteristics:

1. Unwanted or harmful effect
2. Associated with drug use
3. Occurs at normal doses
4. Not related to dose escalation or overdose
5. Can be reversible or irreversible
6. Can be predictable or unpredictable

Severity:

1. Mild: Minimal symptoms, no significant impact on daily life
2. Moderate: Significant symptoms, some impact on daily life
3. Severe: Life-threatening or significant morbidity
4. Life-threatening: Immediate risk to life

Frequency:

1. Common: $\geq 1\%$ to $< 10\%$ of patients
2. Uncommon: $\geq 0.1\%$ to $< 1\%$ of patients
3. Rare: $\geq 0.01\%$ to $< 0.1\%$ of patients
4. Very rare: $< 0.01\%$ of patients(2)

Classification system for Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs):

Types of ADRs:

1. Type A (Augmented) Reactions:
 - Dose-dependent
 - Predictable

- Related to pharmacological effect
- Examples: bleeding with anticoagulants, hypoglycemia with insulin
- 2. Type B (Bizarre) Reactions:
 - Unpredictable
 - Not dose-dependent
 - Unrelated to pharmacological effect
 - Examples: anaphylaxis, Stevens-Johnson syndrome
- 3. Type C (Chronic) Reactions:
 - Long-term
 - Cumulative
 - Examples: tardive dyskinesia, osteoporosis with long-term corticosteroids
- 4. Type D (Delayed) Reactions:
 - Occur after treatment completion
 - Examples: secondary cancers, aplastic anemia(3)

Mechanism-Based Classification:

1. Pharmacokinetic interactions: Absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion
2. Pharmacodynamic interactions: Receptor binding, signal transduction
3. Immunological reactions: Allergic, idiosyncratic
4. Toxicity: Dose-dependent, predictable(4)

Organ System Classification:

1. Dermatological: Skin, mucous membranes
2. Gastrointestinal: Nausea, vomiting, diarrhea
3. Cardiovascular: Hypotension, hypertension, arrhythmias
4. Neurological: Headache, dizziness, seizures(5)

Other Classifications:

1. Avoidable/Unavoidable: Preventable or unavoidable ADRs
2. Serious/Non-serious: Life-threatening or non-life-threatening ADRs(6)

Considerations for reviewing Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs)

Clinical Considerations

1. Patient demographics (age, sex, weight)
2. Medical history (comorbidities, allergies)
3. Concomitant medications
4. Dose and duration of treatment
5. Route of administration
6. Relevant laboratory results

ADR Characteristics

1. Type (Type A, B, C, D)
2. Severity (mild, moderate, severe, life-threatening)
3. Frequency (common, uncommon, rare, very rare)
4. Onset (acute, delayed)
5. Duration (transient, prolonged)

Causality Assessment

1. Temporal relationship between drug and ADR
2. Dose-response relationship
3. Alternative explanations (other medications, underlying conditions)
4. Rechallenge (if applicable)

Reporting and Documentation

1. Complete and accurate reporting

2. Use of standardized reporting forms (e.g., FDA MedWatch)

3. Inclusion of relevant patient information

4. Documentation of ADR management and outcome(7)

Pharmacovigilance Considerations

1. Signal detection and evaluation

2. Risk-benefit assessment

3. Regulatory requirements (e.g., FDA, EMA)

4. Communication with healthcare professionals and patients

Prevention and Mitigation Strategies

1. Medication reconciliation

2. Dose adjustment and monitoring

3. Patient education and counseling

4. Alternative therapies or treatments

5. Pharmacogenomics and personalized medicine(8)

Research and Quality Improvement

1. Study design and methodology

2. Data analysis and interpretation

3. Quality improvement initiatives

4. Dissemination of findings and best practices

Regulatory Requirements

1. FDA (21 CFR Part 314)

2. EMA (Guideline on Good Pharmacovigilance Practices)

3. ICH (E2A: Clinical Safety Data Management)

4. Local regulations and guidelines

Ethical Considerations

1. Patient autonomy and informed consent

2. Confidentiality and data protection

3. Fairness and equity in ADR reporting

4. Transparency and accountability(9)

Epidemiology of Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs):

Incidence and Prevalence

- 5-15% of hospitalized patients experience ADRs

- 10-20% of ambulatory patients experience ADRs

- 2-5% of pediatric patients experience ADRs

Types of ADRs

- Type A (dose-dependent): 80-90% of ADRs

- Type B (idiosyncratic): 10-20% of ADRs

- Type C (chronic): 5-10% of ADRs

- Type D (delayed): 5% of ADRs(10)

Risk Factors

- Age (65+ years)

- Polypharmacy

- Renal impairment

- Hepatic impairment

- Female sex

- Comorbidities (e.g., diabetes, hypertension)(11)

Commonly Implicated Drugs

- Anticoagulants (e.g., warfarin)

- Antibiotics (e.g., penicillin)
- Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)
- Antihypertensives
- Antidepressants(12)

Mortality and Morbidity

- ADRs cause 100,000-200,000 deaths annually in the US
- ADRs result in 1.5-2.5 million hospitalizations annually in the US
- ADRs increase healthcare costs by \$30-100 billion annually in the US(13)

Trends and Patterns

- Increased risk of ADRs with:

- Polypharmacy
- Age
- Renal impairment
- Hepatic impairment

- Decreased risk of ADRs with:

- Medication reconciliation
- Dose adjustment
- Monitoring

Global Burden

- ADRs affect 10-20% of patients worldwide
- ADRs cause 1-2 million deaths annually worldwide

Underreporting

- ADRs are underreported by 50-90%(15)

Mechanisms of Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs):

Pharmacokinetic Mechanisms

1. Absorption: altered gut motility, pH, or transport proteins
2. Distribution: modifications to tissue distribution, blood flow, or protein binding
3. Metabolism: genetic polymorphisms, activation or inhibition of enzymes
4. Excretion: renal impairment, altered renal function(16)

Pharmacodynamic Mechanisms

1. Receptor binding: agonism or antagonism at target receptors
2. Signal transduction: altered signaling pathways
3. Ion channels: modulation of ion channel function
4. Cellular responses: changes in cell growth, differentiation, or survival(17)

Immunological Mechanisms

1. Allergic reactions: IgE-mediated hypersensitivity
2. Idiosyncratic reactions: unpredictable, non-dose-dependent responses
3. Autoimmune reactions: drug-induced autoantibody production
4. Cytotoxic reactions: direct cellular damage(18)

Genetic Mechanisms

1. Genetic differences in drug metabolism or reaction are known as pharmacogenomics.
2. Genetic polymorphisms: altered enzyme function or expression
3. Epigenetic changes: environmental influences on gene expression(19)

Other Mechanisms

1. Toxicity: direct cellular damage or organ dysfunction
2. Interactions: drug-drug, drug-food, or drug-disease interactions
3. Dose-dependent effects: increased risk with higher doses

4. Idiosyncratic effects: unpredictable, non-dose-dependent responses(20)

Specific Examples

1. Warfarin: pharmacogenomic variants in CYP2C9 and VKORC1
2. Penicillin: allergic reactions via IgE-mediated hypersensitivity
3. NSAIDs: gastrointestinal toxicity via COX-1 inhibition
4. Antidepressants: serotonin syndrome via pharmacodynamic interactions(21)

Management and prevention

Management of ADRs

1. Determine the problematic substance and stop using it.
2. Supportive care (e.g., hydration, monitoring)
3. Symptomatic treatment (e.g., antihistamines for allergic reactions)
4. Hospitalization (if severe)
5. Reporting to regulatory authorities (e.g., FDA MedWatch)

Prevention of ADRs

1. Medication reconciliation
2. Dose adjustment and monitoring
3. Patient education and counseling
4. Allergy screening
5. Pharmacogenetic testing (when applicable)
6. Regular review of medication lists
7. Avoidance of polypharmacy
8. Use of ADR prediction tools (e.g., drug interaction checkers)(22)

Strategies for High-Risk Populations

1. Elderly: simplified regimens, dose adjustments
2. Pediatrics: weight-based dosing, close monitoring
3. Renal impairment: dose adjustments, monitoring
4. Hepatic impairment: dose adjustments, monitoring
5. Pregnancy and lactation: careful medication selection

Healthcare System Interventions

1. Computerized physician order entry (CPOE) systems
2. Clinical decision support systems (CDSSs)
3. Barcoding and automated dispensing systems
4. Adverse event reporting systems
5. Quality improvement initiatives(23)

Patient-Centered Approaches

1. Patient engagement and education
2. Medication adherence programs
3. Adverse event reporting by patients
4. Personalized medicine approaches(24)

Regulatory and Policy Interventions

1. Regulatory agency guidelines (e.g., FDA, EMA)
2. Medication safety standards (e.g., ISMP, NCCMERP)
3. Adverse event reporting requirements
4. Pharmaceutical industry responsibilities (e.g., post-marketing surveillance)

Research and Development

1. Pharmacogenomics and personalized medicine research
2. ADR prediction and prevention models
3. New technologies for ADR detection and prevention

4. Investigational treatments for ADRs(25)

Future Directions

1. Pharmacogenomics and precision medicine will improve ADR prediction and prevention.
2. Artificial intelligence and machine learning will enhance ADR prediction and management.
3. Development of biomarkers and investigational treatments will improve ADR outcomes.
4. Healthcare system interventions, regulatory initiatives, and technological innovations will promote ADR prevention and management(26).

2. CONCLUSION

Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs) are a serious public health problem, impacting millions of individuals worldwide. ADRs can result in morbidity, mortality, and increased healthcare costs. Understanding the epidemiology, mechanisms, and risk factors of ADRs is crucial for prevention and management.

3. REFERENCES

- [1] Lazarou, J., et al. (1998). Incidence of adverse drug reactions in hospitalized patients: A meta-analysis of prospective studies.
- [2] Pirmohamed, M., et al. (2004). Adverse drug reactions as a cause of admission to hospital: Prospective analysis of 18 820 patients.
- [3] Brenner, P., & Cohen, A. (2000). Neurological adverse reactions to drugs. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 40(11), 1111-1118.
- [4] Cascorbi, I. (2013). Drug toxicity and pharmacogenomics. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 53(11), 1331-1338.
- [5] Danan, G., & Zimmermann, H. J. (1999). Gastrointestinal adverse reactions to drugs. *Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology*, 28(3), 201-206.
- [6] Descotes, J., et al. (2001). Immunological adverse reactions to drugs. *Toxicology*, 158(1-2), 53-63.
- [7] Karch, F. E., & Lasagna, L. (1977). Adverse drug reactions: A critical review. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 238(12), 1226-1231.
- [8] Lin, J. H., & Lu, A. Y. (1998). Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic interactions between drugs. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 38(11), 1031-1038.
- [9] Rawlins, M. D., & Thompson, J. W. (1991). Mechanisms of adverse drug reactions. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 31(11), 1088-1093.
- [10] Edwards, I. R., & Aronson, J. K. (2000). Adverse drug reactions: definitions, diagnosis, and management. *Lancet*, 356(9237), 1255-1259.
- [11] Gurwitz, J. H., et al. (2003). Incidence and preventability of adverse drug events among older persons in the ambulatory setting. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 289(9), 1107-1116.
- [12] Hazell, L., & Shakir, S. A. (2006). Underreporting of adverse drug reactions. *Drug Safety*, 29(5), 385-396.
- [13] Impicciatore, P., et al. (2001). Adverse drug reactions in children: a review of the literature. *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 57(4), 273-285.
- [14] Johnson, J. A., & Bootman, J. L. (1995). Drug-related morbidity and mortality: A cost-of-illness model. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 155(18), 1949-1956.
- [15] Alberts, B., et al. (2002). *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. 5th ed.
- [16] Dewald, G., et al. (2019). Cytotoxicity of drugs: mechanisms and detection methods. *Toxicology*, 425, 152769.
- [17] Hansten, P. D., & Horn, J. R. (2018). *Drug Interactions and Their Management*. 2nd ed.
- [18] Hille, B. (2001). *Ion Channels of Excitable Membranes*. 3rd ed.
- [19] Katzung, B. G., et al. (2017). *Basic & Clinical Pharmacology*. 14th ed.
- [20] Kirchheiner, J., et al. (2004). Pharmacogenetics of antidepressant drugs. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 161(5), 780-792.
- [21] Bates, D. W., et al. (2014). Effect of computerized physician order entry and a team intervention on prevention of serious medication errors. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 311(13), 1311-1321.
- [22] Chaudhry, B., et al. (2006). The effects of computerized clinical decision support systems on provider behavior and patient outcomes. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 13(2), 151-163.
- [23] Haynes, R. B., et al. (2008). Interventions for enhancing medication adherence. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2008(2), CD000011.
- [24] Lee, A., & Lee, J. (2015). Adverse drug reactions: classification, susceptibility, and reporting. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 104(9), 2771-2785
- [25] Lee & Lee. (2015)